OPINIONS

800

2291.

APPROVAL — BONDS, CITY OF CLEVELAND, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO, \$5,000.00, PART OF ISSUE DATED AUGUST 1, 1933.

Columbus, Ohio, April 13, 1938.

The Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio. Gentlemen:

RE: Bonds of City of Cleveland, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, \$5,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated August 1, 1933. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the Teachers Retirement System under date of October 10, 1935, being Opinion No. 4780.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,
HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.

2292.

APPROVAL—BONDS, CITY OF CLEVELAND, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO, \$100,000.00, PART OF ISSUE DATED MARCH 1, 1919.

Columbus, Ohio, April 13, 1938.

The Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio. Gentlemen:

RE: Bonds of City of Cleveland, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, \$100,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated March 1, 1919. The Transcript relative to

this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the Teachers Retirement System under date of August 21, 1935, being Opinion No. 4565.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,

Attorney General.

2293.

APPROVAL—BONDS, CITY OF AKRON, SUMMIT COUNTY, OHIO, \$15,000.00, PART OF ISSUE DATED JANUARY 1, 1921.

Columbus, Ohio, April 13, 1938.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio. Gentlemen:

RE: Bonds of City of Akron, Summit County, Ohio, \$15,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated January 1, 1921. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the State Emplayes Retirement Board under date of August 21, 1935, being Opinion No. 4564.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully, Herbert S. Duffy, Attorney General.