1680 OPINIONS

ruff's Agricultural College Addition, as the same is numbered and delineated upon the recorded plat thereof, of record in Plat Book No. 4, pages 234 and 235, Recorder's Office, Franklin County, Ohio, the title to which was considered by me in Opinion Number 813 directed to you under date of August 29, 1929.

The supplemental abstract of title submitted shows that the suggestions made in my former opinion relating to the manner in which title to this property might be legally obtained by the State of Ohio have been carried out and that the title to this property is now in the State of Ohio by deed from one Ray B. Levering who had previously purchased the same pursuant to an order of sale issued by the Court of Common Pleas, Franklin County, Ohio, in certain foreclosure proceedings instituted by the treasurer of Franklin County to sell said property for taxes.

The title to this property now being in the State of Ohio and the proceedings relating to the state's acquisition of this property being in all respects regular, the same are hereby approved.

Respectfully,
GILBERT BETTMAN,
Attorney General.

1116.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF CITY OF AKRON, SUMMIT COUNTY-\$250,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, October 28, 1929.

Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

1117.

PROBATE COURT—DUTY TO HEAR CRIMINAL CASES WHERE IT HAS LEGAL JURISDICTION—COMPULSION BY MANDAMUS.

SYLLABUS:

- 1. The probate court under the provisions of Section 13425-15, General Code, must hear such criminal cases as it has jurisdiction to try upon the filing of an information by the prosecuting attorney.
- 2. It being the duty of the probate court, specifically enjoined by law, to hear such cases, mandamus will lie to require such court to perform its duty.

Columbus, Ohio, October 29, 1929.

Hon. C. G. L. Yearick, Prosecuting Attorney, Newark, Ohio.

DEAR SIR:—This will acknowledge receipt of your recent request for my opinion as follows:

"Sec. 13425-15 of the General Code, effective July 21, 1929, which seems to be identical with former Sec. 13455 and Revised Statutes No. 6467, provides that after the return of recognizances and transcript of criminal