



Ohio Attorney General's Office
Bureau of Criminal Investigation
 Investigative Report



2025-1603
 Officer Involved Critical Incident - 4482 Hampton Woods
 Ct., Columbus, Ohio 43230 (L)

Investigative Activity: Involved Officer Interview
Involves: ██████████
Activity Date: 05/29/2025
Activity Location: Law Offices of Harshman, Wannemacher, Tipton &
 Lipperman located at 4683 Winterset Drive, Columbus,
 Ohio 43220
Authoring Agent: SA Chad Holcomb, #61

Narrative:

On Thursday, May 29, 2025, at 0959 hours, Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation (BCI) Special Agent (SA) Chad Holcomb (SA Holcomb) and SA Lauren Frazier (SA Frazier) interviewed Columbus Division of Police (CPD) ██████████ ██████████ who was accompanied by his attorney, Lathan Lipperman, from the Fraternal Order of Police. The interview took place at attorney's office located at 4683 Winterset Drive, Columbus, OH.. The interview was audio recorded.

██████████ read, understood, and signed the BCI Criminal Investigation Notification form.

This report only summarizes the information deemed by the author to be of the most relevance to the investigation and does not purport to contain all questions and answers from the interview. Further, this report was placed in a chronology to aid the reader's overall understanding of the information elicited during the interview and may not be reflective of the actual sequencing of questions. It is suggested that the full recording be reviewed to clarify any content or contextual questions regarding the information from the interview.

Preliminary and Demographic Information:

| | |
|-----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| Name: ██████████ | Rank: ██████████ |
| Badge Number: ██████████ | Cruiser/Vehicle Number: SWAT unmarked vehicle |
| Radio Call Sign: N/A | Immediate Supervisor: N/A |
| Radio Channel Utilized: N/A | Mobile Data Terminal: Not Present |
| Assignment: SWAT ██████████ Team | Normal Shift: ██████████ hours |
| In-Car Camera: Not Present | Spotlight: Not Present |

This document is the property of the Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation and is confidential in nature. Neither the document nor its contents are to be disseminated outside your agency except as provided by law - a statute, an administrative rule, or any rule of procedure.



Ohio Attorney General's Office
Bureau of Criminal Investigation
 Investigative Report



2025-1603
 Officer Involved Critical Incident - 4482 Hampton Woods
 Ct., Columbus, Ohio 43230 (L)

| | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Emergency Lights: Present; Not Utilized | Siren: Present; Not Used |
| Duty Status: On-Duty | |
| Days Off: [REDACTED] | Prior Overtime or Extra Details within Preceding 48 Hours: No |
| Hours of Sleep Prior to Incident: N/A | Consider Self Well Rested?: Yes |
| Total Length as Officer: [REDACTED] | Length at Current Agency: [REDACTED] |
| Physical Disabilities (to include hearing aid): N/A | Corrective Lenses: No Corrective Lenses |
| Uniform Worn: SWAT tactical uniform | Equipment and Less-Lethal Options Carried on Person/Belt/Vest: N/A |
| Ballistic Vest: Tactical Armor | Body Worn Camera: Present & Used |
| Training or Areas of Specialty: OPOTA Precision Rifle Instructor | OPOTC Certification: [REDACTED] |
| Military Experience/Training: [REDACTED] | Use-of-Force Training: N/A |
| Prior Shooting Incidents: N/A | Prior Discipline or Use-of-Force Complaints: No out-of-policy use of force complaints |
| Medications, Prescriptions, or Drugs that might Impair Your Duties at Time of Incident: No | Medications, Prescriptions, or Drugs that might Impair You Now for Interview: No |
| Alcohol in Past 24 Hours? No | Last Consumed Alcohol Prior to Incident: No |

Officer's Firearm(s):

Weapon #1

| | |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| Make: Glock | Model: 45 |
| Caliber/Gauge: 9 mm | Serial: [REDACTED] |
| Type: Semi-Auto Handgun | Method of Carry: Hip |
| Magazine Capacity: 22 | Total Rounds as Carried (including chamber): 23 |
| Extra Magazines: Yes | Number of Rounds in Extra Magazines: 17 |
| Discharged During Incident? No | Primary or Backup Weapon: Backup |
| Rounds Remaining After Incident: 23 | Number of Rounds Fired: 0 |

This document is the property of the Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation and is confidential in nature. Neither the document nor its contents are to be disseminated outside your agency except as provided by law - a statute, an administrative rule, or any rule of procedure.



Ohio Attorney General's Office
Bureau of Criminal Investigation
 Investigative Report



2025-1603
 Officer Involved Critical Incident - 4482 Hampton Woods
 Ct., Columbus, Ohio 43230 (L)

| | |
|----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Right/Left-Handed Carry: Right-Handed | Ownership: Department Owned |
|----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|

Weapon #2

| | |
|----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|
| Make: Accuracy International | Model: ATLE |
| Caliber/Gauge: .308 caliber | Serial: [REDACTED] |
| Type: Sniper Rifle | Method of Carry: Tripod |
| Magazine Capacity: N/A | Total Rounds as Carried (including chamber): N/A |
| Extra Magazines: N/A | Number of Rounds in Extra Magazines: N/A |
| Discharged During Incident? Yes | Primary or Backup Weapon: Primary |
| Rounds Remaining After Incident: 9 | Number of Rounds Fired: 1 |
| Right/Left-Handed Carry: Right-Handed | Ownership: Department Owned |

For additional firearm information, please review the crime scene report

Narrative:

[REDACTED] stated that he was monitoring his SWAT radio channel from home and was alerted of a possible barricade situation. He eventually received a text informing him of a SWAT call out at 4484 Hampton Woods Court. He was told that the suspect, Jerry Smith (Smith), had shot twice at patrol officers.

[REDACTED] arrived on scene around 2240 hours and deployed his sniper rifle behind an SUV parked in the log out front, roughly 45 degrees off the front door and off the one/two corner. He was 40 yards from the front door and then calibrated the scope based on that distance.

In his statement, [REDACTED] talks about the numerous times that Smith opened the door and had a firearm in his hand. [REDACTED] also wrote, "The suspect was oscillating between surrounding and/or releasing the hostage to immediately becoming amped up and announcing he wanted to die and was ready to die and that he was going to 'come out with the fire'. I interpreted the last comment as a threat to shoot at officers, especially since he had already fired at officers multiples times".

[REDACTED] continued to write in his statement, "As I was watching the apartment, the suspect opened the front door and was flailing his arms. It looked like he had something in his hands, but I could not tell what it was. I yelled out and asked what he had in his hands, but the suspect slammed closed the door. Moments later the suspect opened the front door again. I did not see the hostage. At first, I could not see the

This document is the property of the Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation and is confidential in nature. Neither the document nor its contents are to be disseminated outside your agency except as provided by law - a statute, an administrative rule, or any rule of procedure.



Ohio Attorney General's Office
Bureau of Criminal Investigation
Investigative Report



2025-1603
Officer Involved Critical Incident - 4482 Hampton Woods
Ct., Columbus, Ohio 43230 (L)

suspect's hands, but a second after he opened the door he raised and levelled his weapon towards the Bearcats, and it was then that I fired."

██████████ also wrote, "I fired only one round during this incident. I was approximately 40 yards from the suspect. I fired in a slightly southeasterly direction. I used my rifle's scope. My right hand was on the grip of the sniper rifle, and my left hand was stabilizing the tripod. I was standing when I fired. There was plentiful lighting on the suspect from the Bearcats' spotlights".

██████████ continued to write, "At the time I fired my weapon, I believed the suspect posed a deadly threat to the hostage's life and to the lives of all the officers on scene, including my own life". "I did not believe I had any other reasonable alternative to protect my life, the other officers' lives, or the hostage's life from the deadly threat presented when the suspect raised and levelled his weapon towards officers after having already shot at officers several times. While a delta order had been given, and the suspect's actions at the time I fired fell under the delta, I fired based on the imminent deadly threat to my life, the other officers' lives, and the hostage's life, not the delta".

Agents asked ██████████ some follow-up questions.

██████████ has been a ██████████ for CPD SWAT for about two years. SA Holcomb asked about the magnification on his rifle scope. He stated the magnification can be adjusted from 2.5-20 times. He believes he was around 5x during the incident.

SA Holcomb asked for ██████████ to explain a delta order. He stated that it's an order given by command that's an authorization to use lethal force. The order was given by Lt. Hargus. ██████████ stated that the delta order didn't have anything to do with his decision to shoot his rifle. SA Holcomb asked if he had viewed his body camera; stated yes and that after viewing his recollection had not changed.

The interview concluded at approximately 1008 hours.

The interview was audio recorded. The audio recording was attached to this report. The signed BCI Criminal Investigation Notification form is also attached to this report. Please see the attachments for further details.

References:

No references

Attachments:

This document is the property of the Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation and is confidential in nature. Neither the document nor its contents are to be disseminated outside your agency except as provided by law - a statute, an administrative rule, or any rule of procedure.



**Ohio Attorney General's Office
Bureau of Criminal Investigation
Investigative Report**



2025-1603
Officer Involved Critical Incident - 4482 Hampton Woods
Ct., Columbus, Ohio 43230 (L)

Attachment #01: [REDACTED] Statement
Attachment #02: [REDACTED] CIN
Attachment #03: 2025-05-29 - CPD [REDACTED] Interview (2025-1603)

This document is the property of the Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation and is confidential in nature. Neither the document nor its contents are to be disseminated outside your agency except as provided by law - a statute, an administrative rule, or any rule of procedure.

STATEMENT OF [REDACTED] BADGE NO. [REDACTED]

This statement is regarding my involvement in an incident that occurred on Monday, May 19, 2025. I was involved in this incident from approximately 10:40 p.m. until 12:00 a.m. I am voluntarily providing this statement as part of the investigation being conducted by the Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation (BCI).

My date of hire with the Columbus Division of Police ("CPD" or the "Division") is

[REDACTED] I graduated from the CPD Academy and received my OPOTA certification in [REDACTED]. I have never worked for another law enforcement agency. I served in the [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] I do not have any discipline in my file. I have never had an out-of-policy use of force.

I have been assigned to SWAT since [REDACTED]. There are three teams within SWAT, each assigned a different color. Currently, I am assigned to the [REDACTED] Team along with [REDACTED] Steve Shope, Scott Branch, Roger Nolan, Dan Cole, and Robert Cutshall. Officer Cutshall serves as the Assistant Team Leader (ATL), an aid to the sergeant that helps oversee the team during barricades and other missions. Since May of 2024, I have been an OPOTA-certified Precision Rifle (i.e., sniper) Instructor. I am also an OPOTA-certified instructor for semi-auto pistol, revolver, shotgun, rifle/carbine, sub-machine gun, and defensive tactics.

My assigned duty hours are [REDACTED], with [REDACTED] [REDACTED] off. I am also on-call while off-duty, and I am frequently called in on barricades. This incident occurred on my [REDACTED] I did not work any overtime or special duty in the 24 hours before the incident.

I was wearing olive green BDU pants, an olive green long sleeved shirt, an external vest that stated "COLUMBUS SWAT" on the front and "POLICE" on the back, and a ballcap that stated "COLUMBUS SWAT POLICE." I was also equipped with a body worn camera (BWC) attached to the front of my vest. My BWC was on and recording during this incident.

I was carrying my Division-approved Glock 45 9mm handgun. I did not fire or unholster that weapon during this incident. I was also carrying my Division-issued Accuracy International ATLE .308 bolt action sniper rifle. That weapon was loaded with Division-issued Hornady TAP ammunition. It was also equipped with a suppressor and scope. The magazine for that weapon holds 10 rounds. My range qualifications were current. I was not carrying any other firearm.

I was driving my SWAT-issued black, unmarked Dodge Caravan. All the [REDACTED] Team members were present along with most members of the other teams. SWAT Lt. Dan Hargus was overseeing the barricade from the Command Post. And SWAT Sergeants Scott Bray, [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Mike Wilgus, and Jim Morrow were also at the barricade.

I am in good health. I do not suffer from any disabilities. I do not need to wear glasses or hearing aids as I have good vision and hearing. I was not taking any prescription or over-the-counter medication that could impair the performance of my duties. I did not drink any alcohol in the 24 hours before the incident. I was well-rested when I arrived on scene.

Shortly after 10:00 p.m. on the day of this incident, I was monitoring the SWAT radio channel from my home. Around that time, a K9 officer advised members of SWAT to monitor Patrol Channel 1 as there was a possible barricade developing. Specifically, he said shots were fired out of a domestic violence incident. Soon after, [REDACTED] Team ATL Cutshall sent a text to the [REDACTED] Team informing us of a possible barricade at 4484 Hampton Woods Court. Within minutes an all-call went out via text officially announcing a hostage barricade at that address. The

Command Post was being staged up the road at the intersection of Teton Road and Mount Hood Court. We were told the suspect had twice shot at the patrol officers responding to the domestic violence run. Red Team was designated as the entry team.

I had started preparing to respond to the barricade when I received Officer Cutshall's text. I looked up the barricade address on a map: It was in the Easton Ridge Apartments in northeast Columbus, about 15-20 minutes away. I left my house around 10:20 p.m. with lights and sirens activated. While enroute, Sgt. Bray aired that they needed a long gun (sniper) to cover the apartment. I radioed that I could deploy as the sniper once I got to the scene.

I arrived around 10:40 p.m. and parked about a block or two north of the scene. I grabbed my sniper bag and gear and walked south towards the barricade. The suspect's apartment was in the southern part of the complex. Specifically, the apartment was within a single-story building that ran northeast to southwest. The apartments on that side of the building faced northwest. The apartment was sandwiched between two other apartments to the left and right. There was also an apartment immediately behind the suspect's apartment such that there were no doors or windows on the sides or in the back of the suspect's apartment. The front of the apartment had a door and two windows to the right. The apartment was also slightly elevated relative to the parking lot in front of the building.

By the time I arrived, there was a SWAT Bearcat parked in front of and facing the suspect's apartment. There was another Bearcat staged nearby that would also deploy once more SWAT officers arrived. I deployed my sniper rifle behind an SUV parked in the lot out front, roughly 45 degrees off the front door and off the ½ corner. From there, I had an unobstructed view of the front door and two windows. My rangefinder indicated I was 40 yards from the front door. I set up my sniper rifle on a tripod and calibrated the scope based on that distance. I also

threw a black blanket over a nearby porch light, and I had patrol officers turn off another nearby streetlight to help conceal me. I radioed to the Command Post that I was positioned off the ½ corner of the building, 40 yards from the suspect's apartment. I also relayed the type of ammo I was using. [REDACTED] joined me and acted as [REDACTED]

Initially, the front door was closed, and the blinds were drawn on the windows, though I could tell the lights were on inside. I continuously kept my rifle aimed at the front door, only coming off for short periods to avoid fatiguing my vision. I was monitoring the SWAT radio channel from a walkie-talkie. SWAT Lt. Hargus periodically provided updates from negotiators who were, at times, speaking with the suspect. By the time I had arrived on scene, I believe I had heard someone air that the suspect had one hostage.

Not long after I got in position, the second Bearcat deployed in front of the suspect's apartment. The two Bearcats were parked next to one another, and I was positioned left/north of the Bearcats such that neither was in my line of fire nor obstructing my view. Both Bearcats had spotlights on the front door and windows. There were officers and a tactical medic (TEMS) in each Bearcat, including an officer in the turret, covering the apartment.

Right after the second Bearcat parked, the front door suddenly opened. I immediately took aim. The suspect, who appeared to be a black male, busted the glass out of the top of the storm door. He aimed a black semiautomatic handgun out the front door towards the Bearcats, but he shut the front door a moment later. I do not believe he fired at that time. I recall seeing a female white standing next to the suspect that I believed was the hostage. Someone aired that the suspect aimed a weapon at officers.

I did not see or hear anything from inside the apartment for the next 10-15 minutes. But then suddenly the suspect opened the front door again and started pouring what appeared to be

lighter fluid on the front of the storm door. I yelled out "Contact! Lighter fluid!" He then threw the bottle of lighter fluid and slammed the front door shut. I do not recall seeing a weapon in his hands at that time.

Minutes later the suspect opened the front door again. I could clearly see the top half of his body through the shattered part of the storm door, but the bottom half was obscured by the solid portion of the storm door. The hostage was again standing next to or just behind the suspect. I yelled out to Officer Cutshall and asked what the suspect had in his hands. An officer aired that he had a phone in one hand and a gun in the other, but I could not see his hands through the storm door. He slammed the door shut moments later. I told Officer Cutshall to have officers air what the suspect had in his hands whenever he opened the door.

A short time later the suspect again pulled open the front door and aimed a gun at the Bearcats before shutting the door. A moment later I heard a gunshot from inside the apartment. I feared the suspect might have shot the hostage. It was around that time I realized that my transmissions were not going out over the SWAT channel. I told Officer Cutshall that I would have him put out transmissions for me.

At one point an officer aired for everyone to put their BWCs in "stealth mode." (When a BWC is recording, it flashes a red light on the front of the camera, which inadvertently can create a target; stealth mode disables the red light but still records normally.) I momentarily took my focus away from my sniper rifle to ask Officer Cutshall to help me turn on stealth mode. Just as I did so, the suspect again fired his weapon. I quickly went back on the sniper rifle, but the front door was closed, and I did not see the suspect. An officer aired that the suspect had shot and struck one of the Bearcats. That may have also been when Officer Kaethow, who was positioned somewhere between the Bearcats, aired a round flew past his head.

After the suspect had fired his weapon the first or second time, Sgt. Bray requested a delta order be given that would apply if the suspect aimed his weapon at officers. Lt. Hargus replied that the delta was authorized. Officer Cutshall helped place my BWC in stealth mode. He cautioned me that the suspect had already shot at officers multiple times and that if I needed to fire to aim center mass. I told him I was concerned about the hostage if I needed to shoot.

There was another lull for about 10-20 minutes in which I did not see or hear anything from inside the apartment. Lt. Hargus was still providing updates from the negotiators. The suspect was oscillating between surrendering and/or releasing the hostage to immediately becoming amped up and announcing he wanted to die and was ready to die and that he was going to "come out with the fire." I interpreted the last comment as a threat to shoot at officers, especially since he had already fired at officers multiple times.

As I was watching the apartment, the suspect opened the front door and was flailing his arms. It looked like he had something in his hands, but I could not tell what it was. I yelled out and asked what he had in his hands, but the suspect slammed closed the door. Moments later the suspect opened the front door again. I did not see the hostage. At first, I could not see the suspect's hands, but a second after he opened the door he raised and levelled his weapon towards the Bearcats, and it was then that I fired. I am not sure whether the suspect fired his weapon at that time as well. I immediately ejected that round and reloaded, but the suspect had disappeared from my view by that time. I announced that I had shot, and [REDACTED] also said he fired. I held in place as officers yelled for the hostage to come out. She came out of the house and followed officers' commands. She did not appear to have any visible gunshot wounds.

Once the hostage was out, officers yelled for the suspect to come out of the house. He did not come out or acknowledge officers' commands. A drone was deployed into the apartment, and

an officer confirmed the suspect was on the ground, not moving. An entry team went inside moments later and secured the suspect. Once secured, TEMS got out of the Bearcats and began rendering aid. This ended my involvement with this incident.

I stayed at the scene until a member of Officer Support arrived. I then left the scene and met Officer Support at the 6 Precinct Substation. As that is a small substation, I was later transported to the SWAT building where I met with investigators from BCI. I told one of the investigators that I had left my sniper rifle secured at the scene with another officer. Investigators took photos as part of the investigation.

I fired only one round during this incident. I was approximately 40 yards from the suspect. I fired in a slight southeasterly direction. I used my rifle's scope. My right hand was on the grip of the sniper rifle, and my left hand was stabilizing the tripod. I was standing when I fired. There was plentiful lighting on the suspect from the Bearcats' spotlights. I had a bunker placed in front of my tripod, providing some cover to the lower half of my body; the SUV I was standing behind also covered/concealed some of my body. I otherwise had no cover or concealment.

I did not see the hostage when I fired, but the right side of the suspect's body was bladed towards me such that if I pierced his right torso I would have a maximal amount of space to absorb the round and minimize the chances of over-penetration. And that was my exact target. My backdrop was inside the suspect's apartment. I knew that Officer Cutshall had been standing to my left/north for most of the incident; that Officer Kaethow had been positioned somewhere between the Bearcats; that there was an officer in the turret of each Bearcat; and that there were officers and a medic in each Bearcat; but I did not know where the rest of the officers were positioned except that none of them were in my backdrop or line of fire.

At the time I fired my weapon, I believed the suspect posed a deadly threat to the hostage's life and to the lives of all the officers on scene, including my own life. Before I arrived, patrol officers had responded to a report of domestic violence at the suspect's apartment. Based on my training and experience, domestic violence runs can be very dangerous, volatile, and unpredictable. CPD requires a minimum of two officers to respond on such runs. The suspect reportedly shot at the responding officers at least two times and refused to surrender, prompting SWAT's response.

The suspect had not surrendered or released the hostage by the time I arrived on scene. It is not uncommon for a suspect to surrender once a Bearcat is brought to the scene, but even having both Bearcats did not prompt the suspect to give up. Likewise, throughout the roughly one hour I was on scene negotiators repeatedly tried convincing the suspect to surrender: At times he seemed to indicate that he might give up or release the hostage; but each time he immediately recanted and made suicidal statements and/or threats on officers' lives. In fact, at least once the suspect shot at the Bearcats. An officer reported the round struck a Bearcat, and Officer Kaethow aired that a round flew by his head. Several more times the suspect either flashed his gun; levelled his gun out the front door; and/or aimed his weapon at the Bearcats. And at least once he shot his weapon inside the apartment, leading me to believe he may have shot the hostage.

Initially, I did not fire at the suspect since he either slammed shut the door before I could even see his hands, or he indeed was flashing/firing his weapon, but the hostage was standing next to him such that I feared inadvertently striking her if I shot. But when the suspect opened the door immediately before I fired I did not see the hostage. And a second later he raised and levelled his firearm towards the Bearcats. I believed he intended to shoot at officers just as he

had already done several times, and in fact that he may have already fired just before I shot, and that one or more officers on scene could be struck and seriously injured or killed.

Specifically, I knew that there was an officer in the turret of each Bearcat, and I feared that one or both could be shot. While the turrets provide some cover and concealment, it is still possible to shoot an officer. In fact, one SWAT officer was tragically shot and killed while in the turret of a Bearcat. I also knew there were officers and a medic inside each Bearcat. While the Bearcat windshields are designed to withstand small rounds, the windshields can become compromised. I am aware of a SWAT incident within the last year in which a suspect cracked a Bearcat windshield by throwing a small knife, which should not be possible. I was also aware that Officer Kaethow was somewhere between the Bearcats and had reported almost being shot. I was also concerned for my life and for the lives of all the other officers on scene. And finally, I feared that the hostage could already be shot and in need of immediate medical attention or that the suspect would shoot and kill her.

I did not believe I had any other reasonable alternative to protect my life, the other officers' lives, or the hostage's life from the deadly threat presented when the suspect raised and levelled his weapon towards officers after having already shot at officers several times. While a delta order had been given, and the suspect's actions at the time I fired fell under the delta, I fired based on the imminent deadly threat to my life, the other officers' lives, and the hostage's life, not the delta. I believe my actions were consistent with my training, experience, and Ohio law.

After this incident, I learned the suspect's identity: Jerry Smith. To my knowledge, I have never met the suspect before or had any prior encounters with him.

This concludes my statement.




DAVE YOST
OHIO ATTORNEY GENERAL



Bureau of Criminal Investigation
Office (740) 845-2000
Fax (877) 732-1134

Criminal Investigation Notification

1. This investigation is being conducted in order to determine whether any criminal laws have been violated on the part of those involved in this incident. Specifically, to collect facts and information to be provided to the prosecutor and/or grand jury in order for them to determine whether the conduct involved is authorized or prohibited by criminal statutes.
2. Your participation in this interview is voluntary and you may decline to answer or cease the interview at any time. You are entitled to have an attorney present if you wish.
3. The criminal investigation is separate from any internal, administrative investigation which your employer may or may not be independently conducting. You are not being compelled to give any statement or answer any questions. This is not a "Garrity" interview (where you could be required to answer).



5/29/25 9:58AM
Date / Time

Chad Holcomb

BCI Agent (Printed)

SA [Signature] #61

BCI Agent (Signature)