there was to be no discretion as to the carrying out of these requirements, it has provided for certain contingencies such as where there is only one political newspaper, one independent newspaper, or where publication is to be made in installments, etc. There can be no doubt that the only instance where discretion may be exrecised in Section 5694, supra, is in the choice between newspapers meeting the requirements imposed by the statute.

In interpreting the language hereinabove quoted, all the provisions of Section 5694, supra, must be taken into consideration and such interpretation must be made so that every separate essential will be complied with. (Doster vs. Cleveland, 20 O. D., 548).

If this rule which has been well established in Ohio is followed, the provision for "two newspapers" as set forth in this section makes a definite requirement which is in no way ambiguous. Moreover, it does not appear that there is any basis for giving this language so liberal a construction that "two" could mean more than two.

It is therefore my opinion that the publication requirements set forth in Section 5694, General Code, do not permit the county auditor to publish the list to be advertised in more than two papers meeting the requirements of that section.

> Respectfully, HERBERT S. DUFFY, Attorney General

331.

APPROVAL — ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION OF THE PIONEER MUTUAL CASUALTY COMPANY OF OHIO.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, March 25, 1937.

HON. WILLIAM J. KENNEDY, Secretary of State, Columbus, Ohio.

DEAR SIR: I have examined the articles of incorporation of The Pioneer Mutual Casualty Company of Ohio which you have submitted for my examination.

Finding the same not to be inconsistent with the Constitution or laws of the United States or of the State of Ohio, I have endorsed my approval thereon, and return the same to you herewith.

Respectfully,

Herbert S. Duffy,

Attorney General

574