1214 OPINIONS

shall be judicially inquired into, as hereinafter provided, before commencing, or after the completion of the proposed improvements."

By the language of the section just quoted council was without authority to pass the ordinance to proceed until the expiration of two weeks following the completion of the publication of the notice of the passage of said resolution of necessity, and as the bond resolution was dependent for its validity upon the prior passage of a proper ordinance to proceed, it follows that council of the city of Hamilton was without authority on October 19, 1921, to pass an ordinance authorizing the issuance of said bonds.

I am therefore of the opinion that the bonds under consideration are not valid obligations of the city of Hamilton and advise that you decline to accept the same.

The transcript is incomplete in other particulars, but in view of the defect referred to above, it would be useless to call for the additional necessary information.

Respectfully,

JOHN G. PRICE,

Attorney-General.

2771.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF SUMMIT COUNTY, OHIO, IN AMOUNT OF \$35,000 FOR SEWER CONSTRUCTION.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, December 31, 1921.

Department of Industrial Relations, Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

2772.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF SUMMIT COUNTY, OHIO, IN AMOUNT OF \$40,000 FOR SEWER IMPROVEMENTS.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, December 31, 1921.

Department of Industrial Relations, Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.