3499.

APPROVAL, BONDS, CITY OF MAPLE HEIGHTS, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO, \$1,000.00, DATED JANUARY 1, 1937.

Columbus, Ohio, January 4, 1939.

Retirement Board State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio. Gentlemen:

RE: Bonds of City of Maple Heights, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, \$1,000.00 (Subject to 15 M).

I have examined the transcript of proceedings relative to the above bonds purchased by you. These bonds comprise part of an issue of refunding public improvement bonds, Series 1937-16, in the aggregate amount of \$17,590.00, dated January 1, 1937, bearing interest from 1 to 5% per annum.

From this examination, in the light of the law under authority of which these bonds have been authorized, I am of the opinion that bonds issued under these proceedings constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,
HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.

3500.

APPROVAL, BONDS, CITY OF MAPLE HEIGHTS, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO, \$19,000.00, DATED JANUARY 1, 1937.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, January 4, 1939.

Retirement Board State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio. Gentlemen:

RE: Bonds of City of Maple Heights, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, \$19,000.00 (Subject to 15 M. limitation).

I have examined the transcript of proceedings relative to the above bonds purchased by you. These bonds comprise part of an issue of re2432 OPINIONS

funding street improvement bonds in the aggregate amount of \$26,000.00, dated January 1, 1937, bearing interest from one to five per cent per annum, being Series 1937-31.

From this examination, in the light of the law under authority of which these bonds have been authorized, I am of the opinion that bonds issued under these proceedings constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,

Attorney General.

3501.

STATE BRIDGE COMMISSION—HAS AUTHORITY TO EXPEND FUNDS FOR PURCHASE OF UNIFORMS FOR ATTENDANTS—TOLL CLERKS—OPINION 2711, JULY 15, 1938, REVERSED IN PART.

SYLLABUS:

The State Bridge Commission has authority to expend its funds for the purchase of uniforms for attendants. Opinion No. 2711 reversed in part.

Columbus, Ohio, January 5, 1939.

Burcau of Inspection and Supervision of Public Offices, State House Annex, Columbus, Ohio.

Gentlemen: I have been asked to reconsider that portion of my Opinion No. 2711 issued July 15, 1938, which held that the State Bridge Commission did not have authority to expend its funds for the purchase of uniforms for employes.

Since issuing this opinion, additional information in connection with this subject has been brought to my attenton. Section 1084-6, General Code, provdes in part as follows:

"Upon the oppointment and qualification of the members of the state bridge commission or of any county or city bridge commission, they shall at once proceed to organize. Each such commission shall make necessary rules and regulations for its own government, shall appoint a secretary-treasurer, and have power and authority to make and enter into all contracts and