762 OPINIONS

ness were incurred, said contracts were void and could not give rise to any legal claim against the school district. It is only legal indebtedness that can be funded under section 5656 G. C., and inasmuch as these items obviously do not have this character, they do not afford a sufficient predicate for an issue of bonds for the purpose of funding same under 5656 General Code.

There are some other items of indebtedness in the list which the board of education seeks to fund by this issue of bonds that are of such an equivocal nature that on the facts stated in the transcript I am not able to determine whether or not they are legal claims against the school district, but no such doubt is entertained with respect to the items of indebtedness above mentioned and for the reason stated in the consideration of said items, I am of the opinion that this bond issue is, to the extent of said items at least, invalid and inasmuch as you have not indicated any intention to purchase any part of this issue less than the whole of the same, I am of the opinion that the whole of said bond issue should be rejected and that you should not purchase the same.

Respectfully,

JOHN G. PRICE,

Attorney-General.

3460.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF CITY OF GIRARD, TRUMBULL COUNTY, \$30,000, FOR ERECTING WATERWORKS.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, August 3, 1922.

Department of Industrial Relations, Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

3461.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF ALLEN COUNTY, \$9,000, FOR ROAD IMPROVEMENTS.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, August 3, 1922.

Department of Industrial Relations, Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.