



Ohio Attorney General's Office
Bureau of Criminal Investigation
Investigative Report



2021-0888
Officer Involved Critical Incident – 500 South Cleveland Ave.,
Westerville, Ohio 43081 (L)

Investigative Activity: Review of Records
Date of Activity: 07/29/2021
Author: SA James A. Mulford, #39

Narrative:

On July 29, 2021, Bureau of Criminal Investigation (BCI) Special Agent Jim Mulford (SA Mulford) received two BCI Firearms Laboratory Reports for BCI Lab# 21-13962. Evidence was submitted to the laboratory regarding the death of Miles Jackson (Jackson) as a result of an Officer Involved Critical Incident which occurred on April 12, 2021, at Mount Carmel St. Ann's Hospital (500 South Cleveland Ave, Westerville, Ohio). Copies of those reports were saved electronically within the case file and are attached to this report. Please refer to the BCI Lab reports for further clarification and details.

Throughout the course of the investigation, numerous projectiles, fragments, cartridge casings, and firearms were collected. Those items were submitted to the BCI Laboratory where BCI Forensic Scientist Matthew White analyzed all known submitted firearms related evidence.

BCI Evidence #30, a Sig Sauer model P365, 9mm Luger semi-automatic pistol, serial number 66A606280, recovered from the incident scene was submitted and tested. The pistol was located on the floor of ER room #9 directly near where Jackson was originally situated during the altercation. The lab identified three recovered cartridge casings and one fired bullet as being fired from the operable Sig Sauer pistol. The fired bullet, BCI Evidence #5, was recovered from the ceiling in the hallway next to ER room #9. Per BCI Special Agent Chad Holcomb's Crime Scene Processing Report, "Agents observed a ballistic event on the west wall of the room near the ceiling. After further photography, agents used a trajectory rod to assist in locating the projectile. The projectile perforated the west wall of patient room #9 and exited in the hallway behind the double doors leading to the trauma hallway. The projectile then perforated the ceiling tile in the hallway. A projectile was recovered in the insulation of the ceiling and collected as item #49. Agents attached a green laser to the end of the trajectory rod inside patient room #9 to illustrate the approximate trajectory of the projectile and possible muzzle locations of the associated firearm. With the use of a smart level, the trajectory was determined to be upward at approximately 56° and traveled slightly right to left (while facing the wall)."

BCI Evidence Items #3-16 listed as projectiles recovered from the body of Miles Jackson were found to be source identified and were fired from the pistols of Columbus Division of Police

This document is the property of the Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation and is confidential in nature. Neither the document nor its contents are to be disseminated outside your agency except as provided by law – a statute, an administrative rule, or any rule of procedure.

(CPD) Officer Ryan Krichbaum, Security Officer [REDACTED] Security Officer [REDACTED] and Security Officer [REDACTED]

Other projectiles from the pistols of CPD Officer Andy Howe and Security Officer [REDACTED] were source identified to projectiles recovered from the scene and not from the body of Miles Jackson.

Multiple fired bullet jacket fragments and fired bullets were found to have similar class characteristics to the submitted Smith and Wesson firearms, but could not be source identified to a specific pistol.

Attachments:

Attachment # 01:BCI Firearms Lab RPT-21-13962- Sig Sauer model P365 pistol

Attachment # 02:BCI Firearms Lab RPT Overall 21-13962-207292021100501WSDRUSIXDQ



DAVE YOST

OHIO ATTORNEY GENERAL

Bureau of Criminal Investigation

**Laboratory Report
Firearms**

To: BCI / Madison
Jim Mulford
1560 S.R. 56 SW
London, OH 43140

BCI Laboratory Number: 21-13962
Analysis Date: Issue Date:
April 13, 2021 April 14, 2021

Agency Case Number: 2021-0888

Offense: Shooting Involving an Officer

Subject(s): - N/A -

Victim(s): - N/A -

Submitted on April 13, 2021 by S/A Chad Holcomb:

1. White box containing firearm with stain (serial #66A606280), magazine, and cartridges (BCI #30)
 - one (1) Sig Sauer model P365, 9mm Luger semi-automatic pistol, serial number 66A606280
 - one (1) magazine
 - eight (8) 9mm Luger cartridges
2. One manila envelope containing fired cartridge case (BCI #31)
 - one (1) fired 9mm Luger cartridge case
3. One manila envelope containing fired cartridge case (BCI #32)
 - one (1) fired 9mm Luger cartridge case
4. One manila envelope containing fired cartridge case (BCI #33)
 - one (1) fired 9mm Luger cartridge case
5. One manila envelope containing projectile recovered from ceiling in hallway next to room 9 (BCI #49)
 - one (1) fired bullet

Please address inquiries to the office indicated, using the BCI case number.

BCI -Bowling Green Office
750 North College Drive
Bowling Green, OH 43402
Phone:(419)353-5603

BCI -London Office
1560 St Rt 56 SW P.O. Box 365
London, OH 43140
Phone:(740)845-2000

BCI -Richfield Office
4055 Highlander Pkwy, Suite A
Richfield, OH 44286
Phone:(330)659-4600

Findings

Item Description	Comparison	Conclusion
#1: Sig Sauer model P365 pistol (66A606280)	N/A	Operable
	#2, #3, and #4: three (3) fired 9mm Luger cartridge cases	Source Identification
	#5: one (1) fired bullet	Source Identification

Remarks

No fired cartridge cases were entered into the NIBIN database.

The remaining submitted items from item #1 were not examined at this time.

All evidence will be returned to the submitting agency.

Analytical Detail

Analytical findings offered above were determined using visual and microscopic examinations / comparisons.



Matthew White
Forensic Scientist
(740) 845-2528
matthew.white@ohioattorneygeneral.gov



Based on scientific analyses performed, this report contains opinions and interpretations by the analyst whose signature appears above. Examination documentation and any demonstrative data supporting laboratory conclusions are maintained by BCI and will be made available for review upon request.

Your feedback is important to us! Please complete our Laboratory Satisfaction Survey at: <https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/O7V2N6H>

Comparison Conclusion Scale

The following lists the conclusions a Forensic Scientist may reach when performing comparisons. In reaching a conclusion, a Forensic Scientist considers the similarities and dissimilarities and assesses the relative support of the observations under the following two propositions: the evidence originated from the same source or from a different source.

A Forensic Scientist may utilize their knowledge, training, and experience to evaluate how much support the observed similarities or dissimilarities provide for one conclusion over another. A conclusion shall not be communicated with absolute certainty. It is an interpretation of observations made by the Forensic Scientists and shall be expressed as an expert opinion.

1	Source Identification	The observations provide extremely strong support for the proposition that the evidence originated from the same source and the likelihood for the proposition that the evidence arose from a different source is so remote as to be considered a practical impossibility.
2	Support for Same Source	The observations provide more support for the proposition that the evidence originated from the same source rather than different sources; however, there is insufficient support for a Source Identification. The degree of support may range from limited to strong or similar descriptors of the degree of support. Any use of this conclusion shall include a statement of the factor(s) limiting a stronger conclusion.
3	Inconclusive	The observations do not provide a sufficient degree of support for one proposition over the other. Any use of this conclusion shall include a statement of the factor(s) limiting a stronger conclusion.
4	Support for Different Source	The observations provide more support for the proposition that the evidence originated from different sources rather than the same source; however, there is insufficient support for a Source Exclusion. The degree of support may range from limited to strong or similar descriptors of the degree of support. Any use of this conclusion shall include a statement of the factor(s) limiting a stronger conclusion.
5	Source Exclusion	The observations provide extremely strong support for the proposition that the evidence originated from a different source and the likelihood for the proposition that the evidence arose from the same source is so remote as to be considered a practical impossibility; or the evidence exhibits fundamentally different characteristics

We invite you to direct your questions to:
 Abby Schwaderer, Quality Assurance Manager
 (740) 845-2517
abby.schwaderer@ohioattorneygeneral.gov



DAVE YOST

OHIO ATTORNEY GENERAL

Bureau of Criminal Investigation

Laboratory Report
Firearms

To: BCI / Madison
Jim Mulford
1560 S.R. 56 SW
London, OH 43140

BCI Laboratory Number: 21-13962

Analysis Date:
June 24, 2021

Issue Date:
July 26, 2021

Agency Case Number: 2021-0888
BCI Agent: Chad Holcomb

Offense: Shooting Involving an Officer
Subject(s): - N/A -
Victim(s): - N/A -

Submitted on April 13, 2021 by S/A Chad Holcomb:

1. White box containing firearm with stain (serial #66A606280), magazine, and cartridges (BCI #30)
- one (1) Sig Sauer model P365, 9mm Luger semi-automatic pistol, serial number 66A606280
- one (1) magazine
- eight (8) 9mm Luger cartridges

Submitted on April 19, 2021 by S/A Chad Holcomb:

6. One manila envelope containing projectile from floor of trauma room 98 (BCI# 1, Scene 1)
- one (1) fired bullet jacket
7. One manila envelope containing cartridge case found near x-ray room (BCI# 2, Scene 1)
- one (1) fired 9mm Luger cartridge case
8. One manila envelope containing cartridge case located in doorway of radiology room (BCI# 3, Scene 1)
- one (1) fired 9mm Luger cartridge case
9. One manila envelope containing cartridge case located on ground in trauma hallway (BCI# 4, Scene 1)
- one (1) fired 9mm Luger cartridge case

Please address inquiries to the office indicated, using the BCI case number.

BCI -Bowling Green Office
750 North College Drive
Bowling Green, OH 43402
Phone:(419)353-5603

BCI -London Office
1560 St Rt 56 SW P.O. Box 365
London, OH 43140
Phone:(740)845-2000

BCI -Richfield Office
4055 Highlander Pkwy. Suite A
Richfield, OH 44286
Phone:(330)659-4600

10. One manila envelope containing cartridge case located on ground in trauma hallway (BCI# 5, Scene 1)
- one (1) fired 9mm Luger cartridge case
11. One manila envelope containing cartridge case located on ground in trauma hallway (BCI# 6, Scene 1)
- one (1) fired 9mm Luger cartridge case
12. One manila envelope containing cartridge case located under nurses station (BCI# 7, Scene 1)
- one (1) fired 9mm Luger cartridge case
13. One manila envelope containing cartridge case located in the trauma hallway (BCI# 8, Scene 1)
- one (1) fired 9mm Luger cartridge case
14. One manila envelope containing cartridge case located in the trauma hallway (BCI# 9, Scene 1)
- one (1) fired 9mm Luger cartridge case
15. One manila envelope containing cartridge case located in the trauma hallway (BCI# 10, Scene 1)
- one (1) fired 9mm Luger cartridge case
16. One manila envelope containing cartridge case located in the trauma hallway (BCI# 11, Scene 1)
- one (1) fired 9mm Luger cartridge case
17. One manila envelope containing cartridge case located in the trauma hallway (BCI# 12, Scene 1)
- one (1) fired 9mm Luger cartridge case
18. One manila envelope containing cartridge case located in the trauma hallway (BCI# 13, Scene 1)
- one (1) fired 9mm Luger cartridge case
19. One manila envelope containing cartridge case located in the trauma hallway (BCI# 14, Scene 1)
- one (1) fired 9mm Luger cartridge case
20. One manila envelope containing cartridge case located in the trauma hallway by nursing station across from room 9 (BCI# 15, Scene 1)
- one (1) fired 9mm Luger cartridge case
21. One manila envelope containing cartridge case located on the ground in the trauma hallway by nursing station across from room 9 (BCI# 16, Scene 1)
- one (1) fired bullet jacket fragment
22. One manila envelope containing cartridge case located on the ground in trauma hallway by nursing station across from room 9 (BCI# 17, Scene 1)
- one (1) fired 9mm Luger cartridge case
23. One manila envelope containing cartridge case located on the floor behind nursing station (BCI# 19, Scene 1)
- one (1) fired 9mm Luger cartridge case
24. One manila envelope containing cartridge casing found on nursing station desk (BCI# 20, Scene 1)
- one (1) fired 9mm Luger cartridge case

25. One manila envelope containing cartridge case found on nursing station desk (BCI# 21, Scene 1)
- one (1) fired 9mm Luger cartridge case
26. One manila envelope containing cartridge case found on ground behind nursing station (BCI# 22, Scene 1)
- one (1) fired 9mm Luger cartridge case
27. One manila envelope containing cartridge case found on ground behind nursing station walk through (BCI# 23, Scene 1)
- one (1) fired 9mm Luger cartridge case
28. One manila envelope containing cartridge case found on ground behind nursing station walk through (BCI# 24, Scene 1)
- one (1) fired 9mm Luger cartridge case
29. One manila envelope containing cartridge case found on ground behind nursing station walk through (BCI# 25, Scene 1)
- one (1) fired 9mm Luger cartridge case
30. One manila envelope containing cartridge case found on ground behind nurse station (BCI# 27, Scene 1)
- one (1) fired 9mm Luger cartridge case
31. One manila envelope containing cartridge case found on ground behind nurses station (BCI# 28, Scene 1)
- one (1) fired 9mm Luger cartridge case
32. One manila envelope containing fragment on ground in trauma hallway in front of nursing station (BCI# 29, Scene 1)
- one (1) fired bullet jacket fragment
33. One manila envelope containing fragment on ground (BCI# 34, Scene 1)
- one (1) fired bullet jacket fragment
34. One manila envelope containing fragment on ground (BCI# 35, Scene 1)
- one (1) fired bullet
35. One manila envelope containing fragment located on the medicine cabinet (BCI# 41, Scene 1)
- one (1) fired bullet
36. One manila envelope containing fragment located on the ground (BCI# 42, Scene 1)
- one (1) fired bullet jacket fragment
37. One manila envelope containing fragment located on the ground (BCI# 43, Scene 1)
- one (1) fired bullet
38. One manila envelope containing fragment located on the ground (BCI# 44, Scene 1)
- one (1) fired bullet
39. One manila envelope containing fragment located on the ground (BCI# 45, Scene 1)
- one (1) fired bullet
40. One manila envelope containing projectile located on ground in room 10 (BCI# 46, Scene 1)
- one (1) fired bullet
41. One manila envelope containing projectile located on ground in room 10 (BCI# 47, Scene 1)
- one (1) fired bullet

42. One manila envelope containing projectile located on ground in room 10 (BCI# 48, Scene 1)
- one (1) fired bullet
43. One manila envelope containing cartridges and one projectile from deceased recovered from trauma room 98 (BCI# 52, Scene 1)
- one (1) fired bullet
44. White box containing firearm, SN: [REDACTED] with magazine (BCI# 1, Scene 2)
- one (1) Smith & Wesson model M&P9 M2.0, 9mm Luger semi-automatic pistol, serial number [REDACTED]
- one (1) magazine
- sixteen (16) 9mm Luger cartridges
45. White box containing firearm, SN: [REDACTED] with magazine (BCI# 2, Scene 2)
- one (1) Smith & Wesson model M&P9 M2.0, 9mm Luger semi-automatic pistol, serial number [REDACTED]
- one (1) magazine
- sixteen (16) 9mm Luger cartridges
46. White box containing firearm, SN: [REDACTED] with cartridges (BCI# 47, Scene 2)
- one (1) Smith & Wesson model M&P9 M2.0, 9mm Luger semi-automatic pistol, serial number [REDACTED]
- one (1) magazine
- seven (7) 9mm Luger cartridges
47. White box containing firearm, SN: [REDACTED] with cartridges (BCI# 5, Scene 2)
- one (1) Smith & Wesson model M&P9 9mm Luger semi-automatic pistol, serial number [REDACTED]
- one (1) magazine
- thirteen (13) 9mm Luger cartridges
48. White box containing firearm, SN: [REDACTED] with cartridges (BCI# 6, Scene 2)
- one (1) Smith & Wesson model M&P9 9mm Luger semi-automatic pistol, serial number [REDACTED]
- one (1) magazine
- ten (10) 9mm Luger cartridges
49. White box containing firearm, SN: [REDACTED] with cartridges (BCI# 7, Scene 2)
- one (1) Smith & Wesson model M&P9 M2.0, 9mm Luger semi-automatic pistol, serial number [REDACTED]
- one (1) magazine
- thirteen (13) 9mm Luger cartridges

Submitted on April 20, 2021 by S/A Chad Holcomb:

50. One manila envelope containing projectile recovered from the body of Miles Jackson (BCI #3, Scene #3)
- one (1) fired bullet
51. One manila envelope containing projectile recovered from the body of Miles Jackson (BCI #4, Scene #3)
- one (1) fired bullet (#51.1B)
- one (1) fired bullet jacket (#51.2B)
- one (1) lead bullet core (#51.3B)

52. One manila envelope containing projectile recovered from the body of Miles Jackson (BCI #5, Scene #3)
 - one (1) fired bullet jacket (#52.1B)
 - one (1) lead bullet core (#52.2B)
53. One manila envelope containing projectile recovered from the body of Miles Jackson (BCI #6, Scene #3)
 - one (1) fired bullet
54. One manila envelope containing projectile recovered from the body of Miles Jackson (BCI #7, Scene #3)
 - one (1) fired bullet jacket (#54.1B)
 - one (1) lead bullet core (#54.2B)
 - one (1) white fragment (#54.3)
55. One manila envelope containing projectile recovered from the body of Miles Jackson (BCI #8, Scene #3)
 - one (1) fired bullet
56. One manila envelope containing projectile recovered from the body of Miles Jackson (BCI #9, Scene #3)
 - one (1) fired bullet (#56.1B)
 - one (1) fired bullet jacket fragment (#56.2B)
57. One manila envelope containing projectile recovered from the body of Miles Jackson (BCI #10, Scene #3)
 - one (1) lead bullet core
58. One manila envelope containing projectile recovered from the body of Miles Jackson (BCI #11, Scene #3)
 - one (1) fired bullet jacket fragment
59. One manila envelope containing projectile recovered from the body of Miles Jackson (BCI #12, Scene #3)
 - one (1) fired bullet jacket fragment
60. One manila envelope containing projectile recovered from the body of Miles Jackson (BCI #13, Scene #3)
 - one (1) fired bullet
61. One manila envelope containing projectile recovered from the body of Miles Jackson (BCI #14, Scene #3)
 - one (1) fired bullet
62. One manila envelope containing projectile recovered from the body of Miles Jackson (BCI #15, Scene #3)
 - one (1) fired bullet jacket (#62.1B)
 - one (1) lead bullet core (#62.2B)
63. One manila envelope containing projectile recovered from the body of Miles Jackson (BCI #16, Scene #3)
 - one (1) fired bullet

Findings

Item Description	Comparison	Conclusion
#1: Sig Sauer model P365 pistol (66A606280)	#40 / #41: two (2) fired bullets	Source Identification

Item Description	Comparison	Conclusion
#44: Smith & Wesson model M&P9 M2.0 pistol [REDACTED]	N/A	Operable
	#20 / #22: two (2) fired 9mm Luger cartridge cases	Source Identification
	#60 / #61: two (2) fired bullets	Source Identification

Item Description	Comparison	Conclusion
#45: Smith & Wesson model M&P9 M2.0 pistol [REDACTED]	N/A	Operable
	#35 / #38: two (2) fired bullets	Source Identification

Item Description	Comparison	Conclusion
#46: Smith & Wesson model M&P9 M2.0 pistol [REDACTED]	N/A	Operable
	#12 / #26 / #27 / #29 / #30 / #31: six (6) fired 9mm Luger cartridge cases	Source Identification
	#36 / #59: two (2) fired bullet jacket fragments	Source Identification
	#39 / #42: two (2) fired bullets #51.2B / #52.1B / #54.1B: three (3) fired bullet jackets	

Item Description	Comparison	Conclusion
#47: Smith & Wesson model M&P9 pistol [REDACTED]	N/A	Operable
	#13 / #15 / #16 / #17 / #18: five (5) fired 9mm Luger cartridge cases	Source Identification
	#50 / #53: two (2) fired bullets	Source Identification
	#58: one (1) fired bullet jacket fragment #62.1B: one (1) fired bullet jacket	

Item Description	Comparison	Conclusion
#48: Smith & Wesson model M&P9 pistol [REDACTED]	N/A	Operable
	#7 / #8 / #9 / #10 / #11 / #14 / #19 / #28: eight (8) fired 9mm Luger cartridge cases	Source Identification
	#33: one (1) fired bullet jacket fragment	Source Identification

Item Description	Comparison	Conclusion
#49: Smith & Wesson model M&P9 M2.0 pistol [REDACTED]	N/A	Operable
	#23 / #24 / #25: three (3) fired 9mm Luger cartridge cases	Source Identification
	#43 / #51.1B / #55 / #56.1B: four (4) fired bullets	Source Identification

Item Description	Comparison	Conclusion
#44: Smith & Wesson model M&P9 M2.0 pistol [REDACTED]	#6: one (1) fired bullet jacket #21 / #32: two (2) fired bullet jacket fragments #34 / #37 / #63: three (3) fired bullets	Similar class characteristics: land / groove impression widths *Not microscopically compared further at this time
#45: Smith & Wesson model M&P9 M2.0 pistol [REDACTED]		
#46: Smith & Wesson model M&P9 M2.0 pistol [REDACTED]		
#47: Smith & Wesson model M&P9 pistol [REDACTED]		
#48: Smith & Wesson model M&P9 pistol [REDACTED]		
#49: Smith & Wesson model M&P9 M2.0 pistol [REDACTED]		

Item Description	Comparison	Conclusion
#1: Sig Sauer model P365 pistol (66A606280)	#6: one (1) fired bullet jacket #21 / #32: two (2) fired bullet jacket fragments #34 / #37 / #63: three (3) fired bullets	Source Exclusion

Item Description	Comparison	Conclusion
#51.3B / #52.2B / #54.2B / #57 / #62.2B: five (5) lead bullet cores #56.2B: one (1) bullet jacket fragment	N/A	Unsuitable^
#54.3: one (1) white fragment	N/A	Unsuitable^ (see remarks)

^Insufficient class and/or individual characteristics present.

Remarks

The one (1) white fragment, item #54.3, has star shapes present.

Six (6) of the sixteen (16) submitted cartridges from item #44 were used for test firing.

Six (6) of the sixteen (16) submitted cartridges from item #45 were used for test firing.

Six (6) of the seven (7) submitted cartridges from item #46 were used for test firing.

Six (6) of the thirteen (13) submitted cartridges from item #47 were used for test firing.

Six (6) of the ten (10) submitted cartridges from item #48 were used for test firing.

Six (6) of the thirteen (13) submitted cartridges from item #49 were used for test firing.

No fired cartridge cases were entered into the NIBIN database.

The remaining submitted items from items #1, #44, #45, #46, #47, #48, and #49 were not examined at this time.

All evidence will be returned to the submitting agency.

Analytical Detail

Analytical findings offered above were determined using visual and microscopic examinations / comparisons.



Matthew White
Forensic Scientist
(740) 845-2528
matthew.white@OhioAGO.gov



Based on scientific analyses performed, this report contains opinions and interpretations by the analyst whose signature appears above. Examination documentation and any demonstrative data supporting laboratory conclusions are maintained by BCI and will be made available for review upon request.

Your feedback is important to us! Please complete our Laboratory Satisfaction Survey at: <https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/O7V2N6H>

Comparison Conclusion Scale

The following lists the conclusions a Forensic Scientist may reach when performing comparisons. In reaching a conclusion, a Forensic Scientist considers the similarities and dissimilarities and assesses the relative support of the observations under the following two propositions: the evidence originated from the same source or from a different source.

A Forensic Scientist may utilize their knowledge, training, and experience to evaluate how much support the observed similarities or dissimilarities provide for one conclusion over another. A conclusion shall not be communicated with absolute certainty. It is an interpretation of observations made by the Forensic Scientists and shall be expressed as an expert opinion.

1	Source Identification	The observations provide extremely strong support for the proposition that the evidence originated from the same source and the likelihood for the proposition that the evidence arose from a different source is so remote as to be considered a practical impossibility.
2	Support for Same Source	The observations provide more support for the proposition that the evidence originated from the same source rather than different sources; however, there is insufficient support for a Source Identification. The degree of support may range from limited to strong or similar descriptors of the degree of support. Any use of this conclusion shall include a statement of the factor(s) limiting a stronger conclusion.
3	Inconclusive	The observations do not provide a sufficient degree of support for one proposition over the other. Any use of this conclusion shall include a statement of the factor(s) limiting a stronger conclusion.
4	Support for Different Source	The observations provide more support for the proposition that the evidence originated from different sources rather than the same source; however, there is insufficient support for a Source Exclusion. The degree of support may range from limited to strong or similar descriptors of the degree of support. Any use of this conclusion shall include a statement of the factor(s) limiting a stronger conclusion.
5	Source Exclusion	The observations provide extremely strong support for the proposition that the evidence originated from a different source and the likelihood for the proposition that the evidence arose from the same source is so remote as to be considered a practical impossibility; or the evidence exhibits fundamentally different characteristics

We invite you to direct your questions to:
Abby Schwaderer, Quality Assurance Manager
(740) 845-2517
abby.schwaderer@ohioattorneygeneral.gov