rather than equipment, and as such should be made under the general authority to construct and build conferred by Section 2433, General Code.

In view of these facts, it is my opinion that money for the construction of a dog pound by county commissioners should be taken from the general fund and not from the dog and kennel fund.

Respectfully,
Herbert S. Duffy, Attorney Gencral.
$26,72$.

DISAPPROVAL--BONDS, PIOUA CITY SCHOOL, DISTRICI, MTAMI COUNTY: OlHO, $\$+200.00$.

Colcabes, Oıno, July 7, 1938.

Retircmen Roard, Statc Teachers Retircmont System, Cohumbus, Ohio. Gentlemen:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { RI: : } & \text { Bonds of Piqua City School District, Miami } \\
& \text { County, Ohio, } \$ 4,200.00 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

I have examined the transcript submitted to me relative to the above bond issue and wish to adrise you that I will be unable to approve this issue for the foilowing reasons:

In the financial statement submitted by the clerk, the tax valuation of this subdivision is therein shown to be $\$ 19,511,910$. Under the provisions of Section 2293-15, General Code, this subdivision could therefore incur indebtedness without a rote of the people to the extent of one-tenth of one per cent of such valuation or, in concrete figures, $\$ 19,511$. It is also shown in this financial statement that this subdivision now has outstanding bonds or notes issued without authority of an election in the amount of $\$ 27,700$, but that in exceeding their one-tenth of one per cent, they hare now oustanding $\$ 1+9.90$ in bonds issued for the purpose of a field house and apparently issued under the provisions of House Bill 544, effective June 7, 1935. Although the clerk has noted that these bonds are self liquidating, that is being retired by athletic receipts, there is no statutory authorization for such a statement and it must be considered that these bonds are general obligations of the school district. Apparently, these bonds were issued in excess of the net indebtedness limitations under author-
ity of House Bill 544 and under athority of said bill such bonds shall not be subject to the limitations of Section 2293-15, General Code. This bill provides that bonds may be issued in excess of net indehtedness limitations after first exhausting the powers for the creation of indebtedness within such limitations. It is, therefore, my judgment that so many of these bonds which were issued within net inclebtedness limitations must be considered in computing the net indebtedness limitations and that the recital in said bill to the effect that such bonds shall not be subject to the limitations of Section 2293-15, General Code, applies to only those bonds in excess of such net indebtedness limitation.

For this reason, it is apparent that the power of incurring indebtcdness within such net indebtedness limitations has been exhausted and inasmuch as this present issuc is unvoted, 1 will be unable to approve the same and advise your system against the purchase of these bounds.

Respectfully,
Herbert S. Duthe Attorncy Gincral.
2073.
 THROLGH ADJUTANT GENERAL OF OHIO, AND DIRECTOR OF STATE ARMORIES, WITH GEORGE A. YOUNGMAN, 1).B.A. "YOUNGMAN ELECTRIC," PORTSMOUTII, OHIO, COMILETION OF ELECTRTCAL WORK, OHIO STATE ARMORY, IRONTON, OHIO, TOTAL EX゙JENDITLRE, \$1,240.00.

Colcmbles, Onio, July 8, 1938.

Hon. Eahl F. Marx, Adjutant Goncral of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

1) Ear Sir: You have submitted for my approval a contract by and between George A. Joungman, an individual, doing business as the "Youngman Electric," Portsmouth, Ohio, and the State of Ohio, acting by Emil F. Marx, Acljutant General and Director of State Armories, for the construction and completion of the electrical work required in the erection of an Ohio State Armory at Ironton, Ohio, which contract calls for the total expenditure of one thousind two hundred and forty dollars ( $\$ 1,240.00$ ).
