dicate that the employe or deputy you have in mind performs services other than catching and impounding dogs, it would seem unnecessary to further consider this phase of the situation at this time.

In specific answer to your inquiry it is the opinion of this department, in view of the state of facts which you present, that there is no provision of law whereby the employe you mention can receive compensation other than that provided for in section 5652-13.

While I am reluctant to reach this conclusion in view of the hardship it will work under the state of facts you present, it is the well established principle in this state that moneys cannot be expended from the public treasury except in pursuance of law, and in view of this fact it would seem that no other conclusion can be reached.

Respectfully,

JOHN G. PRICE,

Attorney-General.

1782.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF ALLEN COUNTY, OHIO, IN AMOUNT OF \$36,600 FOR IMPROVEMENT OF CHILDREN'S HOME.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, January 13, 1921.

The Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

1783.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF BELMONT COUNTY, OHIO, IN AMOUNT OF \$32,700 FOR ROAD IMPROVEMENTS.

Columbus, Ohio, January 13, 1921.

The Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

1784.

APPROVAL, DEED OF CLARISSA COOK MOORE AND MARY A. BAKER, LAND IN MONCLOVA TOWNSHIP, LUCAS COUNTY, OHIO, TO COMMEMORATE THE "BATTLE OF FALLEN TIMBERS."

Columbus, Ohio, January 14, 1921.

The Ohio State Archaeological and Historical Society, Columbus, Ohio.

Gentlemen:—You have recently requested that I officially pass upon two deeds you have submitted, one of which was executed by Clarissa Cook Moore, January 3, 1921, and the other executed by Mary A. Baker, December 30, 1920, both purporting to convey certain premises therein described to your society.

The first deed referred to purports to convey two and twenty-nine hundredths (2.29) acres of land to your society with certain permanent easements relating to