Ohio Attorney General's Office
Bureau of Criminal Investigation
Investigative Report

2026-2933
Officer Involved Critical Incident - 5193 Robinhood Drive, Willoughby, Ohio 44094 Lake County (L)

Investigative Activity:	Review of Lab Report
Involves:	BCI Lab (O)
Activity Date:	09/01/2026
Activity Location:	BCI - Richfield
Authoring Agent:	SA Allison Fletcher #187

Narrative:

On Tuesday, September 1, 2026, Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation (BCI) Special Agent (SA) Allison Fletcher (Fletcher) received the BCI Laboratory Analysis report for items submitted to the BCI Laboratory on August 10, 2026. The analysis requested was to determine or confirm which firearm was the source weapon for the recovered projectiles and cartridge casings.

Eight (8) items were submitted to the lab for analysis. The report originated from the Firearms section of the laboratory and was authored by Forensic Scientist Jordan Gardner. A review of the laboratory analysis report revealed that the two submitted firearms were identified as the source of the two (2) recovered fired projectiles and four (4) recovered fired cartridge casings. Both firearms were determined to be operable.

Results

Lab Item #	Matrix Item #	Description	Result
1	2	One (1) Sig Sauer 9mm Luger semi-automatic pistol, model P320, serial number [redacted]	Operable; Source Identification for Lab Item #8: One (1) fired bullet (Matrix Item #25) and Lab Item #5 and #6: two (2) fired 9mm Luger +P cartridge casings (Matrix Item(s) #16 and #17)
2	3	One (1) Sig Sauer 9mm Luger semi-automatic pistol, model P320, serial number [redacted]	Operable; Source Identification for Lab Item #7: One (1) fired bullet (Matrix Item #24) and Lab Item #3 and #4: two (2) fired 9mm Luger +P cartridge casings (Matrix Item(s) #4 and #5)

This document is the property of the Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation and is confidential in nature. Neither the document nor its contents are to be disseminated outside your agency except as provided by law - a statute, an administrative rule, or any rule of procedure.

Summary of Findings

Two (2) projectiles (Matrix Items #24 and #25) were recovered during the autopsy of the involved subject, Jason Green (Green). [redacted] firearm was determined to be the source for Lab Item #8/Matrix Item #25; [redacted] firearm was determined to be the source for Lab Item #7/Matrix Item #24.

There were four (4) recovered fired cartridge casings that were located at the scene. [redacted] firearm was determined to be the source of two (2) of the fired cartridge casings: Lab Item(s) #5 and #6/Matrix Item(s) #16 and 17. [redacted] firearm was determined to be the source of the other two (2) fired cartridge casings: Lab item(s) #3 and #4/Matrix Item(s) #4 and #5.

A copy of the lab results has been attached to this report.

References:

None

Attachments:

1. 2026-09-01 BCI Lab Report - Firearms

This document is the property of the Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation and is confidential in nature. Neither the document nor its contents are to be disseminated outside your agency except as provided by law - a statute, an administrative rule, or any rule of procedure.

ANDY WILSON
OHIO ATTORNEY GENERAL

Bureau of Criminal Investigation | **Laboratory Report**
Firearms

To:	Ohio Attorney General's Office Allison Fletcher 30 E. Broad Street Columbus, OH 43215	BCI Laboratory Number: Analysis Date: August 25, 2026 Agency Case Number: BCI Agent:	26-36104 Issue Date: August 31, 2026 2026-2933 Michael Rostocil

Offense: Shooting Involving an Officer
Subject(s):
Victim(s): [redacted]

Submitted on 08/10/2026 by Dan Boerner

1. One cardboard box containing firearm (S/N: [redacted])
   - *One (1) Sig Sauer 9mm Luger semi-automatic pistol, model P320, serial number [redacted] one (1) magazine and twenty (20) 9mm Luger +P cartridges.*
2. One cardboard box containing firearm (S/N: [redacted])
   - *One (1) Sig Sauer 9mm Luger semi-automatic pistol, model P320, serial number [redacted] one (1) magazine and twenty (20) 9mm Luger +P cartridges.*
3. Envelope containing cartridge case
   - *One (1) fired 9mm Luger +P cartridge case.*
4. Envelope containing cartridge case
   - *One (1) fired 9mm Luger +P cartridge case.*
5. Envelope containing cartridge case
   - *One (1) fired 9mm Luger +P cartridge case.*
6. Envelope containing cartridge case
   - *One (1) fired 9mm Luger +P cartridge case.*
7. Envelope containing bullet
   - *One (1) fired bullet.*
8. Envelope containing bullet
   - *One (1) fired bullet.*

Please address inquiries to the office indicated, using the BCI case number.

[ ] BCI -Bowling Green Office
750 North College Drive
Bowling Green, OH 43402
Phone:(419)353-5603

[ ] BCI -London Office
1560 St Rt 56 SW P.O. Box 365
London, OH 43140
Phone:(740)845-2000

[X] BCI -Richfield Office
4055 Highlander Pkwy. Suite A
Richfield, OH 44286
Phone:(330)659-4600

JRG

Findings

Item Description	Comparison	Conclusion
Item #1: Sig Sauer pistol (Serial number [redacted]).	N/A	Operable
	Item #8: One (1) fired bullet.	Source Identification
	Items #5 and #6: Two (2) fired 9mm Luger +P cartridge cases.	Source Identification

Item Description	Comparison	Conclusion
Item #2: Sig Sauer pistol (serial number [redacted]	N/A	Operable
	Item #7: One (1) fired bullet.	Source Identification
	Items #3 and #4: Two (2) fired 9mm Luger +P cartridge cases.	Source Identification

Remarks

No pertinent findings regarding the submitted cartridges with Items #1 and #2.

All evidence will be returned to the submitting agency.

Analytical Detail

Analytical findings offered above were determined using visual, physical, and microscopic examinations / comparisons.

Jordan Gardner
Forensic Scientist
(234) 400-3635
jordan.gardner@OhioAGO.gov

Based on scientific analyses performed, this report contains opinions and interpretations by the analyst whose signature appears above. Examination documentation and any demonstrative data supporting laboratory conclusions are maintained by BCI and will be made available for review upon request. Results relate only to the items tested.

Your feedback is important to us! Please complete our Laboratory Satisfaction Survey at: https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/Q9VQHL5

JRG

Comparison Conclusion Scale

The following lists the conclusions a Forensic Scientist may reach when performing comparisons. In reaching a conclusion, a Forensic Scientist considers the similarities and dissimilarities and assesses the relative support of the observations under the following two propositions: the evidence originated from the same source or from a different source.

A Forensic Scientist may utilize their knowledge, training, and experience to evaluate how much support the observed similarities or dissimilarities provide for one conclusion over another. A conclusion shall not be communicated with absolute certainty. It is an interpretation of observations made by the Forensic Scientists and shall be expressed as an expert opinion.

1	Source Identification	The observations provide extremely strong support for the proposition that the evidence originated from the same source and the likelihood for the proposition that the evidence arose from a different source is so remote as to be considered a practical impossibility.
2	Support for Same Source	The observations provide more support for the proposition that the evidence originated from the same source rather than different sources; however, there is insufficient support for a Source Identification. The degree of support may range from limited to strong or similar descriptors of the degree of support. Any use of this conclusion shall include a statement of the factor(s) limiting a stronger conclusion.
3	Inconclusive	The observations do not provide a sufficient degree of support for one proposition over the other. Any use of this conclusion shall include a statement of the factor(s) limiting a stronger conclusion.
4	Support for Different Source	The observations provide more support for the proposition that the evidence originated from different sources rather than the same source; however, there is insufficient support for a Source Exclusion. The degree of support may range from limited to strong or similar descriptors of the degree of support. Any use of this conclusion shall include a statement of the factor(s) limiting a stronger conclusion.
5	Source Exclusion	The observations provide extremely strong support for the proposition that the evidence originated from a different source and the likelihood for the proposition that the evidence arose from the same source is so remote as to be considered a practical impossibility; or the evidence exhibits fundamentally different characteristics

We invite you to direct your questions to:

Abby Schwaderer, Quality Assurance Manager
(740) 845-2517
abby.schwaderer@ohioattorneygeneral.gov

JRG

Jordan R. Gardner

Statement of Qualifications

Jordan.Gardner@OhioAGO.gov

Education

- Bachelor of Applied Science in Forensic Science. May 2021. Youngstown State University. Youngstown, OH.

Professional Experience

- Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation. Forensic Scientist. January 2024-Present
- Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation. Forensic Science Lab Tech 2. January 2023 – January 2024.
- National Medical Service laboratories. Forensic Chemist. May 2022 – December 2022.
- National Medical Service laboratories. Forensic Chemistry Technician. July 2021-April 2022

Required Technical Training

- Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives. Triage and Acquisition. April 2023.
- Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives. Correlation. July 2023.
- Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation. NIBIN. 2023 – 2024.
- Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation. Firearms. 2024-2025

A complete CV can be made available upon request

Updated: 8/9/2023

JRG