The annual rental provided for in said lease is six per cent upon one thousand dollars (\$1,000.00), the appraised value of the parcel of land covered by said lease.

Upon examination of the provisions of said lease, I find that the same has been executed in conformity with the provisions of Section 13965 and of other related sections of the General Code applicable to the execution of leases of this kind.

There being no apparent reason why said lease should not be approved by this department, the same is hereby accordingly approved as is evidenced upon said lease and upon the duplicate and triplicate copies thereof.

Respectfully,
GILBERT BETTMAN,
Attorney General.

679.

APPROVAL, LEASES TO OHIO CANAL PROPERTY IN COSHOCTON, LICKING AND TUSCARAWAS COUNTIES.

Columbus, Ohio, July 26, 1929.

HON. RICHARD T. WISDA, Superintendent of Public Works, Columbus, Ohio.

DEAR SIR:—There has been submitted for my examination and approval, four certain leases in triplicate executed by the State of Ohio through you as Superintendent of Public Works, leasing and demising to the respective lessees therein named, certain parcels of Ohio canal property more particularly described in said respective leases. The annual rental provided for in each of said leases is six per cent upon the appraised valuation of the parcel of land covered by the lease; and each of said leases are for a term of fifteen years. The leases here in question designated with respect to the lessees therein, the location of the property leased and the appraised valuation thereof, are:

Lessees	Location of Property	Valuation
T. H. Findle	y, Oxford Township, Coshocton County	\$100.00
Vernon H. H	Iale, Dover Township, Tuscarawas County	600.00
Frederick Pr	iest, Madison Township, Licking County	700.00
G. W. Sander	s and Albert Klinger, Oxford Tp., Coshocton Co	ounty 300.00

Each and all of said leases have been executed by you pursuant to the authority conferred by Sections 13965, et seq., General Code, and said leases as to form, conform to the provisions of such sections and to other statutory provisions relating to canal land leases.

No reason appears why the leases here in question should not be approved by this department and the same and each of them are accordingly hereby approved, and my approval is endorsed upon said leases and upon the duplicate and triplicate copies thereof.

Respectfully,
GILBERT BETTMAN,
Attorney General.