OPINIONS

324

1927.

APPROVAL—BONDS TOLEDO CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT, LUCAS COUNTY, OHIO, \$8,000.00, PART OF AN ISSUE DATED JUNE 1, 1927.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, February 15, 1938.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio. Gentlemen:

RE: Bonds of Toledo City School District, Lucas County, Ohio, \$8,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above school district dated June 1, 1927. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to your board under date of November 20, 1933, being Opinion No. 1886.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said school district.

Respectfully,
HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorncy General.

1928.

APPROVAL—BONDS CITY OF CLEVELAND, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO, \$18,000.00, PART OF ISSUE DATED AUGUST 1, 1929.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, February 15, 1938.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio. Gentlemen:

RE: Bonds of City of Cleveland, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, \$18,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated August 1, 1929. The transcript relative

to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to your board under date of December 16, 1937, being Opinion No. 1642.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,

Attorney General

1929.

APPROVAL—BONDS CITY OF TOLEDO, LUCAS COUNTY, OHIO, \$85,000.00, PART OF ISSUE DATED JUNE 15, 1935.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, February 15, 1938.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio. Gentlemen:

RE: Bonds of City of Toledo, Lucas County, Ohio, \$85,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated June 15, 1935. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the State Employes Retirement Board under date of January 27, 1936, being Opinion No. 5118.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,

Attorney General