There are other errors and omissions in the transcript which might perhaps be corrected, but in view of the defect pointed out above, it would be useless to return the transcript for such corrections.

Respectfully,

JOHN G. PRICE,

Attorney-General.

2551.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF VILLAGE OF SHADYSIDE, OHIO, IN AMOUNT OF \$5,000 FOR WATER WORKS EXTENSION.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, November 5, 1921.

Department of Industrial Relations, Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

2552.

APPKOVAL, BONDS OF LOGAN COUNTY, OHIO, IN AMOUNT OF \$12,000 FOR ROAD IMPROVEMENTS.

Columbus, Ohio, November 5, 1921.

Department of Industrial Relations, Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

2553.

DISAPPROVAL, REFUNDING BONDS OF RICHFIELD TOWNSHIP RURAL SCHOOL DISTRICT, SUMMIT COUNTY, IN AMOUNT OF \$6,000.

Columbus, Ohio, November 5, 1921.

Department of Industrial Relations, Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

Re: Refunding bonds of Richfield Township Rural School District, Summit county, in the sum of \$6,000, being 1 bond payable in 10 installments of \$600 each.

Gentlemen:—The transcript for the above bond issue discloses that bonds were issued under authority of section 5656 et seq. of the General Code for the purpose of refunding obligations of the school district. Section 5658 provides as follows:

"No indebtedness of a township, school district or county shall be funded, refunded or extended unless such indebtedness is first determined to be an existing, valid and binding obligation of such township, school district or county by a formal resolution of the trustees, board 1010 OPINIONS

of education or commissioners thereof, respectively. Such resolution shall state the amount of the existing indebtedness to be funded, refunded or extended, the aggregate amount of bonds to be issued therefor, their number and denomination, the date of their maturity, the rate of interest they shall bear and the place of payment of principal and interest."

The transcript fails to show that the board of education by a formal resolution, as required by said section, determined that the indebtedness to be refunded was an existing, valid and binding obligation of such school district. As a matter of fact the bond resolution which seems to have been the only resolution adopted by the board of education in connection with the issuance of said bonds, makes no direct finding or determination at all relative to said indebtedness.

It is my opinion that the provisions of section 5658 G. C. requiring the adoption of a formal resolution determining that said indebtedness is an existing, valid and binding obligation of such school district is mandatory and that its adoption is essential to the authority of the board of education to authorize the issuance of bonds.

For the reasons stated I am unable to approve the validity of said bonds and advise that the commission decline to purchase the same.

Respectfully,

JOHN G. PRICE,

Attorney-General.

2554.

APPROVAL, DEFICIENCY BONDS OF NORTHAMPTON TOWNSHIP RURAL SCHOOL DISTRICT IN AMOUNT OF \$5,900.

Department of Industrial Relations, Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, November 5, 1921.

2555.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF VILLAGE OF SOMERSET, PERRY COUNTY, OHIO, IN AMOUNT OF \$20,000 FOR STREET IMPROVEMENTS.

Department of Industrial Relations, Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

Columbus, Ohio, November 5, 1921.

2556.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF WEST LIBERTY VILLAGE SCHOOL DISTRICT, LOGAN COUNTY, OHIO, IN AMOUNT OF \$10,500.

Department of Industrial Relations, Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, November 5, 1921.