524

334.

OPINIONS

## APPROVAL, FIVE LEASES TO MIAMI AND ERIE CANAL LAND NEAR MIDDLETOWN, OHIO.

Columbus, Ohio, April 23, 1929.

HON. RICHARD T. WISDA, Superintendent of Public Works, Columbus, Ohio.

DEAR SIR:—By communication of recent date you submitted for my examination and approval five leases in triplicate, executed by the State of Ohio through you as Superintendent of Public Works in pursuance to the provisions of the act of the 86th General Assembly, passed March 25, 1925, abandoning that portion of the Miami & Erie canal between the Maumee River at Defiance and a point 500 feet north of the Middletown dam near the north corporation line of the city of Middletown, 111 O. L. 208. The leases here in question are the following:

Lessee Location of Property	Valuation
F. D. Ausman, St. Marys	\$1,272 00
George G. Coressel, Defiance	2,333 34
George Holtzhauer, St. Marys	300 00
Anna Stoker, St. Marys	1,000 00
The Toledo Edison Co., Defiance	2,283 34

Assuming that no application has been made to you by the municipal corporations in which the several parcels of land covered by these respective leases are located, or by other political subdivisions, under the provisions of Section 5 of the act of the General Assembly above referred to, Section 18 of said act seems to authorize the execution of the leases here in question, and upon the terms and conditions therein provided for. Said leases and each of them are accordingly hereby approved and my approval is endorsed upon each of said leases and the duplicate and triplicate copies thereof.

Respectfully,
GILBERT BETTMAN,
Attorney General.

335.

## APPROVAL, SIX LEASES TO ABANDONED OHIO CANAL LANDS.

Columbus, Ohio, April 23, 1929.

HON. RICHARD T. WISDA, Superintendent of Public Works, Columbus, Ohio.

Dear Sir:—You have submitted for my examination and approval six leases in triplicate executed by the State of Ohio, through you as Superintendent of Public Works, wherby there are leased and demised to the respective lessees therein named, several parcels of abandoned Ohio Canal lands. The leases here in question are the following:

Lessee	Parcel	ı	aluation
S. B. Hennis,	Lawrence Tov	vnship, Tuscarawas County	\$100 00
Grover Kline	, Yellowbud, R	oss County	250 00
The Isaac Wa	alton League, T	uscarawas Township, Coshocton Co	500 00
The Ohio Po	wer Company,	Cass Township, Muskingum County	100 00
A. W. Purdy	, Camp Creek	Township, Pike County	300 00
C. A. Weyan	t, et al., Madisc	on Township, Licking County	2,000 00

An examination of the aforesaid leases shows that the term of each of the same is fifteen years, and that the rent reserved is six percent of the appraised valuation of the parcel so leased.

It appearing further that said leases are otherwise in conformity with the provisions of law relating to the leasing of canal lands, said leases, and each of the same, are hereby approved, as is evidenced by the endorsement of my approval thereon and on the duplicate and triplicate copies thereof, all of which are herewith returned.

Respectfully,

GILBERT BETTMAN,

Attorney General.

336.

APPROVAL, LEASES TO MIAMI AND ERIE CANAL LAND IN THE CITY OF CINCINNATI—EDWARD AUFDEMKAMP—ETHEL C. BOLSINGER.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, April 23, 1929.

HON. RICHARD T. WISDA, Superintendent of Public Works, Columbus, Ohio.

Dear Sir:—This is to acknowledge the receipt of your communication of recent date submitting for my examination and approval three leases in triplicate, executed by the state of Ohio, through you as Superintendent of Public Works, leasing and demising for terms of ninety-nine years each, renewable forever, certain parcels of abandoned Miami and Eric Canal land, relinquished by the City of Cincinnati to the State of Ohio, pursuant to the Act of the 87th General Assembly, passed April 20, 1927, 112 O. L. 210.

The leases here in question are the following:

	Lessee	Parce	rl	Valuati	ion
1.	Edward Au	fdemkamp—No.	117	\$1,422	00
2.	Edward Au	fdemkamp—No.	119	233	00
3.	Ethel C.	Bolsinger-No.	86	1,649	00

It is noted that pursuant to the requirements of Section Nine of said act the rent reserved is six percent of the appraised value of said several parcels of land, said land being subject to revaluation at the end of each fifteen year period.

An examination of said leases further shows that the same are in all respects in conformity to the provisions of said act, and said leases are accordingly hereby approved as to form. Insofar as Section nine of said act requires my aproval as to the lease of said several parcels of land, said transactions are likewise approved and my approval is endorsed on the lease forms and on the duplicate and triplicate copies thereof.

Respectfully,
GILBERT BETTMAN,
Attorney General.