southeasterly with the said easterly line of said 66-foot highway, twenty-nine and nine-tenths (29.9') feet to the line produced westerly between lots 215 and 216 in said city of Lancaster; thence easterly with the said line between lots 215 and 216 produced, forty-three and three-tenths (43.3) feet to the westerly line of the alley west of Columbus Street in said city; thence northerly with the said westerly line of said alley twenty-seven (27') feet, more or less, to the northerly line of said canal property; thence northwesterly with the northeasterly line of the said canal property, one hundred eight (108') feet, more or less to an angle point in said canal property line; thence northerly with the said easterly line of said canal property sixty-four (64') feet, more or less, to the place of beginning and containing sixty-two hundred and fifty (6,250) square feet, more or less, and appraised at \$450.00.

The lease here in question is executed under the authority of section 11 of said act of the 89th General Assembly above referred to, which act provides that all marginal or other tracts of abadoned Hocking Canal lands that are not occupied by the City of Lancaster for street, sewerage, drainage or other municipal purposes, which cannot be sold at the appraised value thereof, within two years from the date of the approval of said act by the Governor, may be leased, and that the abutting lot or land owner shall have the first right to a lease of such tract or tracts of land for a period of twenty-five years upon the appraised value thereof; and that the annual rental on such lease or leases shall be six per cent of the appraised value thereof. It appears from a recital in this lease instrument that the lessees therein named are the owners of land abutting upon the property covered by this lease and that they are, therefore, entitled to a lease of this property.

Upon examination of the lease, I find that the same has been properly executed by you and by the lessees above named. I also find the provisions of this lease and the conditions and restrictions therein contained to be in conformity with the act of the legislature above referred to and with other statutory enactments relating to leases of this kind. I am accordingly approving this lease as to legality and form, as is evidenced by my approval endorsed upon the lease and upon the duplicate and triplicate copies thereof, all of which I am herewith returning.

Respectfully,

JOHN W. BRICKER,

Attorney General.

2585.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF CITY OF LORAIN, LORAIN COUNTY, OHIO— \$3,200.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, April 27, 1934.

Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.