

Ohio Attorney General's Office Bureau of Criminal Investigation

Investigative Report



Officer Involved Critical Incident - 1055 East Avenue, Akron, Ohio 44307, Summit County



Investigative Activity: BCI DNA Laboratory report

Involves: Jazmir Tucker (S)

Activity Date: 01/21/2025
Activity Location: BCI Richfield

Authoring Agent: SA Jonathan Lieber

Narrative:

On Tuesday, January 21, 2025, Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation (BCI) Special Agent (SA) Jon Lieber (Lieber) received Ohio BCI Laboratory report(s) for items of evidence submitted on December 2, 2024 for scientific analysis (laboratory case number 24-38488). The report originated from the DNA section of the laboratory and was authored by Forensic Scientist Brittani Troyer. The items relevant to this report which had previously been submitted were as follows:

- 1. Cartridge case recovered from Miller-South school driveway (Matrix and CSU Item 001)
- 2. Cartridge case recovered from Miller-South school driveway (Matrix and CSU Item 002)
- 3. Firearm Taurus G2C Serial #AEB092621 with cartridges and magazine recovered from the Miller-South school yard (Matrix and CSU Item 011)

SA Lieber reviewed the laboratory report and noted the following:

Swabs obtained from the base of magazine and extended portion (3.6) and from the body of the magazine (3.7) were found to have DNA from an unknown male that was sufficient for comparison.

On January 21, 2025, the DNA blood card obtained during the autopsy of Jazmir Tucker was submitted to the BCI lab to be used for comparison to the unknown male DNA. A subsequent report will be written after the results are received.

A copy of the Ohio BCI Laboratory report is attached to this investigative report. Please refer to the attachment for further details.

This document is the property of the Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation and is confidential in nature. Neither the document nor its contents are to be disseminated outside your agency except as provided by law - a statute, an administrative rule, or any rule of procedure.



Ohio Attorney General's Office Bureau of Criminal Investigation

Investigative Report



2024-3775 Officer Involved Critical Incident - 1055 East Avenue, Akron, Ohio 44307, Summit County

References:

None

Attachments:

1. 2025-01-21 BCI DNA Laboratory report 24-38488-4

This document is the property of the Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation and is confidential in nature. Neither the document nor its contents are to be disseminated outside your agency except as provided by law - a statute, an administrative rule, or any rule of procedure.



Bureau of Criminal Investigation

Laboratory Report

Firearms

To: BCI / Richfield BCI Laboratory Number: 24-38488

> Cross Reference: Jon Lieber 24-38467 24-31071

Analysis Date: Issue Date:

4055 Highlander Parkway Richfield, OH 44286 December 09, 2024 December 17, 2024

> Agency Case Number: 2024-3775

BCI Agent: Michael Rostocil

Offense: Shooting Involving an Officer

Subject(s): Victim(s):

Submitted on 12/02/2024 by Betsy Farris

One cardboard box containing firearm (S/N #AEB092621) with cartridge and magazine recovered from the scene (Matrix and CSU Item 011)

> One (1) Taurus 9mm Luger semi-automatic pistol, model G2C, serial number AEB092621; one (1) magazine and thirty-one (31) 9mm Luger cartridges.

24-38467: Submitted on 12/06/2024 by Daniel J Radca

One manila envelope containing cartridge casings recovered from the scene

Five (5) fired 9mm Luger cartridge cases (2EC1-2EC5).

24-31071: Submitted on 12/06/2024 by Daniel J Radca

- Brown paper bag containing cartridge casings recovered from scene
 - Six (6) fired 9mm Luger cartridge cases (1EC1-1EC6).

Please address inquiries to the office indicated, using the BCI case number.

Lab Case: 24-38488

Issue Date: December 17, 2024 Agency Case: 2024-3775

Agency Case: 2024-3775 Cross Reference: 24-38467, 24-31071

Findings

| Item Description | Comparison | Conclusion |
|-------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| Item #3: Taurus Pistol | N/A | Operable |
| | BCI 24-31071 Item #1: Five (5) fired 9mm | Source Exclusion |
| | Luger cartridge cases (1EC1-1EC5) | |
| | BCI 24-31071 Item #1: One (1) fired 9mm | Source Identification |
| | Luger cartridge case (1EC6) | |
| | BCI 24-38467 Item #2: Five (5) fired 9mm | Source Identification |
| | Luger cartridge cases | |

Remarks

Two (2) submitted cartridges were used for additional test firing of Item #3.

BCI 24-38467 is Akron PD case number 2024-00140334.

BCI 24-31071 is Akron PD case number 2023-00136790.

All evidence will be returned to the submitting agency.

Analytical Detail

Analytical findings offered above were determined using visual, physical, and microscopic examinations / comparisons.

Monica Coblents

Monica Coblentz Forensic Scientist (234) 400-3715

Monica.Coblentz@OhioAGO.gov



Based on scientific analyses performed, this report contains opinions and interpretations by the analyst whose signature appears above. Examination documentation and any demonstrative data supporting laboratory conclusions are maintained by BCI and will be made available for review upon request. Results relate only to the items tested.

Your feedback is important to us! Please complete our Laboratory Satisfaction Survey at: https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/Q9VQHL5

Lab Case: Issue Date:

Cross Reference:

24-38488 December 17, 2024

24-38467, 24-31071

Agency Case: 2024-3775

Comparison Conclusion Scale

The following lists the conclusions a Forensic Scientist may reach when performing comparisons. In reaching a conclusion, a Forensic Scientist considers the similarities and dissimilarities and assesses the relative support of the observations under the following two propositions: the evidence originated from the same source or from a different source.

A Forensic Scientist may utilize their knowledge, training, and experience to evaluate how much support the observed similarities or dissimilarities provide for one conclusion over another. A conclusion shall not be communicated with absolute certainty. It is an interpretation of observations made by the Forensic Scientists and shall be expressed as an expert opinion.

| 1 | Source Identification | The observations provide extremely strong support for the proposition that the evidence originated from the same source and the likelihood for the proposition that the evidence arose from a different source is so remote as to be considered a practical impossibility. |
|---|------------------------------|--|
| 2 | Support for Same Source | The observations provide more support for the proposition that the evidence originated from the same source rather than different sources; however, there is insufficient support for a Source Identification. The degree of support may range from limited to strong or similar descriptors of the degree of support. Any use of this conclusion shall include a statement of the factor(s) limiting a stronger conclusion. |
| 3 | Inconclusive | The observations do not provide a sufficient degree of support for one proposition over the other. Any use of this conclusion shall include a statement of the factor(s) limiting a stronger conclusion. |
| 4 | Support for Different Source | The observations provide more support for the proposition that the evidence originated from different sources rather than the same source; however, there is insufficient support for a Source Exclusion. The degree of support may range from limited to strong or similar descriptors of the degree of support. Any use of this conclusion shall include a statement of the factor(s) limiting a stronger conclusion. |
| 5 | Source Exclusion | The observations provide extremely strong support for the proposition that the evidence originated from a different source and the likelihood for the proposition that the evidence arose from the same source is so remote as to be considered a practical impossibility; or the evidence exhibits fundamentally different characteristics |

We invite you to direct your questions to:
Abby Schwaderer, Quality Assurance Manager
(740) 845-2517
abby.schwaderer@ohioattorneygeneral.gov

Lab Case: 24-38488

December 17, 2024 Issue Date: Agency Case:

2024-3775

Cross Reference: 24-38467, 24-31071

Monica Coblentz **Statement of Qualifications** Monica.Coblentz@OhioAGO.gov

Education

Bachelor's Degree in Forensic Biology with minors in Chemistry and Criminal Justice. December 2015. Ashland University. Ashland, Ohio.

Professional Experience-

- Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation. Forensic Scientist. June 2022- Current.
- Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation. Laboratory Technician II (NIBIN). October 2021- June 2022.
- $Ohio\ Bureau\ of\ Criminal\ Investigation.\ Laboratory\ Technician\ I.\ March\ 2021-\ October\ 2021.$
- Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation. Evidence Intake Technician. February 2020- March 2021.

Required Technical Training-

- Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation. Firearms Training. 2022-2023.
- Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation. Laboratory Technician II Training. 2021-2022.
- Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation. Laboratory Technician I Training. 2021.
- Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation. Evidence Intake Technician Training. 2020.

A complete CV can be made available upon request

Updated 03/27/2024