

1683.

DISAPPROVAL, LEASE TO OFFICE ROOMS FOR USE OF DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS, IN ULMER BUILDING, CLEVELAND, OHIO.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, March 27, 1930.

HON. ALBERT T. CONNAR, *Superintendent of Public Works, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—This acknowledges receipt of your letter of March 25, 1930, requesting approval of a lease between The Public Square Improvement Company and yourself for office space for the Department of Industrial Relations, at Rooms 708, 709 in the Ulmer Building at Public Square, Cleveland, Ohio.

After careful consideration, I find that:

1. The last two lines in paragraph 4 on page 1 of the lease reading "and the lesese will pay on demand for any damage to the premises suffered or caused by the lessee or the lessee's agents," should be stricken out.

2. The last sentence in Rule 2 under "Rules and Regulations" on page 2 of the lease beginning "Safes and other heavy articles shall be placed," etc., should also be stricken out.

In my Opinion No. 176, addressed to your predecessor, Richard T. Wisda, under date of March 8, 1929, and my Opinion No. 1624, rendered to you under date of March 15, 1930, I discussed exhaustively my objections to provisions similar to the above. I am enclosing copies of those opinions, so that it will be unnecessary to again repeat my reasons for disapproving such provisions.

I would also like to call your attention to the fact that sub-head 2 of paragraph 2 of page 1 of the lease is crossed out with red marks in four copies of the lease, but one copy does not appear to have such material crossed out. This should be marked out like the other copies. Also paragraphs 19 and 20 on page 3 of the lease appear to be typed in on four copies of the lease, but not on the fifth copy. This should be typed in like the other copies.

Finally, there does not appear to have been any date of execution placed in the space just above the signature of the parties to the lease. In this connection, Section 2288-2, General Code, should be considered.

In view of the above objections, I am forced to disapprove the lease, and am returning it, together with all papers submitted.

Respectfully,

GILBERT BETTMAN,

*Attorney General.*

1684.

MERGER OF SCHOOL DISTRICTS—RESOLUTION OF COUNTY BOARD REFERRING TO RURAL SCHOOL DISTRICT INSTEAD OF VILLAGE SCHOOL DISTRICT NOT INVALIDATED—RURAL AND VILLAGE SCHOOL BOARDS DETERMINE AMOUNT OF BOND TO BE GIVEN BY THEIR CLERKS.

SYLLABUS:

1. *The mere fact that the county board of education in creating a new school district by authority of Section 4736 of the General Code, refers to the new district*