OPINIONS

378

1959.

APPROVAL—BONDS SUMMIT COUNTY, OHIO, \$500,000.00, DATED OCTOBER 1, 1937.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, February 21, 1938.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio. Gentlemen:

RE: Bonds of Summit County, Ohio, \$500,000.00 (29% inside 10 mill limitation; 71% inside 15 mill limitation.)

1 have examined the transcript of proceedings relative to the above bonds purchased by you. These bonds comprise all of an issue of refunding bonds dated October 1, 1937, bearing interest at the rate of 3% per annum.

From this examination, in the light of the law under authority of which these bonds have been authorized, I am of the opinion that bonds issued under these proceedings constitute valid and legal obligations of said county.

Respectfully,
HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.

1960.

APPROVAL—BONDS CITY OF CLEVELAND, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO, \$8,000.00, PART OF ISSUE DATED FEBRUARY 1, 1929.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, February 21, 1938.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio. Gentlemen:

RE: Bonds of City of Cleveland, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, \$8,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds

of the above city dated February 1, 1929. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to your board under date of October 4, 1934, being Opinion No. 3280.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,

Attorney General.

1961.

APPROVAL—BONDS CITY OF CLEVELAND, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO, \$5,000.00, PART OF ISSUE DATED SEPTEMBER 1, 1931.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, February 21, 1938.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio. Gentlemen:

RE: Bonds of City of Cleveland, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, \$5,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated September 1, 1931. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to your board under date of July 26, 1933, being Opinion No. 1166.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.