servants does not include building a house for the president. Had the legislature intended, it could have made provision for authorizing the building of such house. Not having done so, it is not within the province of the Attorney General to construe or interpret the law otherwise than he finds it.

Therefore, in specific answer to your first question it is my opinion: that, Senate Bill No. 348, does not authorize the Board of Trustees of Kent State University to build a house for the President on the campus of Kent State University.

Your first question having been answered in the negative, it therefore removes your second question from being considered.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.

899.

APPROVAL—BONDS OF CITY OF EUCLID, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO, \$3,000.00.

Columbus, Ohio, July 22, 1937.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio. Gentlemen:

RE: Bonds of City of Euclid, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, \$3,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated October 1, 1932. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the Industrial Commission under date of November 20, 1935, being Opinion No. 4909.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute a valid and legal obligation of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.