1061.

APPROVAL—BONDS OF CITY OF DAYTON, MONTGOMERY COUNTY, OHIO, \$96,000.00.

Columbus, Ohio, August 25, 1937.

The Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio. GENTLEMEN:

> IN RE: Bonds of the City of Dayton, Montgomery County, Ohio, \$96,000.

1 have examined the transcript relative to the above bonds purchased by you. These bonds comprise part of an issue of Water Works Bonds in the aggregate amount of \$400,000, dated March 1, 1928, bearing interest at the rate of $4\frac{1}{2}$ % per annum.

From this examination, in the light of the law under authority of which these bonds have been authorized, I am of the opinion that bonds issued under these proceedings constitute a valid and legal obligation of said city.

> Respectfully, HERBERT S. DUFFY, Attorney General.

1062.

APPROVAL—BONDS OF GERMANTOWN VILLAGE SCHOOL DISTRICT, MONTGOMERY COUNTY, OHIO, \$15,000.00

COLUMBUS, OHIO, August 25, 1937.

The Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio. GENTLEMEN:

> IN RE: Bonds of Germantown Village School District, Montgomery County, Ohio, \$15,000.00.

I have examined the transcript relative to the above bonds purchased by you. These bonds comprise part of an issue of school bonds in the aggregate amount of \$150,000, dated December 22, 1921, bearing interest at the rate of $5\frac{1}{2}$ % per annum. From this examination, in the light of the law under authority of which these bonds have been authorized, I am of the opinion that bonds under these proceedings constitute a valid and legal obligation of said village school district.

> Respectfully, HERBERT S. DUFFY,

Attorney General.

1063.

APPROVAL—BONDS OF CITY OF SPRINGFIELD, CLARK COUNTY, OHIO, \$1,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, August 25, 1937.

The Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio. GENTLEMEN:

IN RE: Bonds of the City of Springfield, Clark County, Ohio, \$1,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be a part of an issue of bonds of the city of Springfield, dated March 1, 1933. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the State Teachers Retirement System under date of September 25, 1934, being Opinion No. 3240.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute a valid and legal obligation of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY, Attorncy General.