



Ohio Attorney General's Office
Bureau of Criminal Investigation
Investigative Report



2025-0513
Officer Involved Critical Incident -14000 OH-104
Chillicothe, OH 45601 (L)

Investigative Activity: Firearms Lab report received
Involves: Ross County Sheriff's Office
Activity Date: 04/16/2025
Authoring Agent: SA Aja Chung, #86

Narrative:

On Wednesday, April 16, 2025, Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation (BCI) Special Agent (SA) Aja Chung (SA Chung) received Ohio BCI Laboratory report for items of evidence submitted on February 18, 2025 for scientific analysis (laboratory case number 25-15246). Kelsey Cramer, BCI Forensic Scientist, authored the report and noted the following:

A total of six (6) items were submitted for firearms analysis. Those items included were two (2) pistols, two (2) casings, one (1) projectile, and one (1) magazine that contained seven (7) cartridges.

- The Taurus G3c, 9mm, semi-automatic pistol with serial number: ADL885316 was found to be operable.
- The Sig Sauer, P320, 9mm, semi-automatic pistol with serial number: [REDACTED] was found operable.
- The two casings and one projectile were identified as being discharged from the Sig Sauer pistol.
- The magazine was used to test fire the Taurus pistol.

A copy of the Ohio BCI Laboratory report is attached to this investigative report. Please refer to the attachment for further details.

References:

None

Attachments:

1. 25-15246 FA report

This document is the property of the Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation and is confidential in nature. Neither the document nor its contents are to be disseminated outside your agency except as provided by law - a statute, an administrative rule, or any rule of procedure.



DAVE YOST

OHIO ATTORNEY GENERAL

Bureau of Criminal Investigation

**Laboratory Report
Firearms**

To: BCI / Madison
Aja Chung
1560 S.R. 56 SW
London, OH 43140

BCI Laboratory Number: 25-15246

Analysis Date: April 07, 2025

Issue Date: April 09, 2025

Agency Case Number: 2025-0513
BCI Agent: Brittney VanBibber

Offense: Shooting Involving an Officer
Subject(s): N/A
Victim(s): N/A

Submitted on 02/18/2025 by Brittney VanBibber

1. White box containing firearm (Serial #ADL885316) (Scene #1, Item #1)
 - One (1) Taurus model G3c, 9mm Luger, semi-automatic pistol, serial number: ADL885316
2. White box containing firearm (Serial # [REDACTED]) with magazine and cartridges (Scene #1, item #2)
 - One (1) Sig Sauer model P320, 9mm Luger, semi-automatic pistol, serial number: [REDACTED] with one (1) magazine, and sixteen (16) unfired cartridges
3. One manila envelope containing cartridge casing (Scene #1, item #3)
 - One (1) fired 9mm Luger cartridge case
4. One manila envelope containing cartridge casing (Scene #1, item #4)
 - One (1) fired 9mm Luger cartridge case
5. One manila envelope containing fired projectile (Scene #1, item #8)
 - One (1) fired bullet
6. One manila envelope containing magazine with cartridges (Scene #1, item #10)
 - One (1) magazine and seven (7) unfired cartridges

Please address inquiries to the office indicated, using the BCI case number.

BCI -Bowling Green Office
750 North College Drive
Bowling Green, OH 43402
Phone:(419)353-5603

BCI -London Office
1560 St Rt 56 SW P.O. Box 365
London, OH 43140
Phone:(740)845-2000

BCI -Richfield Office
4055 Highlander Pkwy, Suite A
Richfield, OH 44286
Phone:(330)659-4600

Findings

Item Description	Comparison	Conclusion
Item 1: Taurus pistol SN: ADL885316	N/A	Operable

Item Description	Comparison	Conclusion
Item 2: Sig Sauer pistol SN: [REDACTED]	N/A	Operable
	Item 3 and Item 4: two (2) fired 9mm Luger cartridge cases	Source Identification
	Item 5: one (1) fired bullet	

Remarks

The Item 6 magazine was used to test fire the Item 1 pistol.

The remaining submitted items from Item 2 and Item 6 were not examined/compared at this time.

All evidence will be returned to the submitting agency.

Analytical Detail

Analytical findings offered above were determined using visual, physical, and microscopic examinations / comparisons.



Kelsey Cramer, FA-AFTE
Forensic Scientist
(740) 845 - 2627
Kelsey.Cramer@OhioAGO.gov



Based on scientific analyses performed, this report contains opinions and interpretations by the analyst whose signature appears above. Examination documentation and any demonstrative data supporting laboratory conclusions are maintained by BCI and will be made available for review upon request. Results relate only to the items tested.

Your feedback is important to us! Please complete our Laboratory Satisfaction Survey at: <https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/Q7V2N6H>

Comparison Conclusion Scale

The following lists the conclusions a Forensic Scientist may reach when performing comparisons. In reaching a conclusion, a Forensic Scientist considers the similarities and dissimilarities and assesses the relative support of the observations under the following two propositions: the evidence originated from the same source or from a different source.

A Forensic Scientist may utilize their knowledge, training, and experience to evaluate how much support the observed similarities or dissimilarities provide for one conclusion over another. A conclusion shall not be communicated with absolute certainty. It is an interpretation of observations made by the Forensic Scientists and shall be expressed as an expert opinion.

1	Source Identification	The observations provide extremely strong support for the proposition that the evidence originated from the same source and the likelihood for the proposition that the evidence arose from a different source is so remote as to be considered a practical impossibility.
2	Support for Same Source	The observations provide more support for the proposition that the evidence originated from the same source rather than different sources; however, there is insufficient support for a Source Identification. The degree of support may range from limited to strong or similar descriptors of the degree of support. Any use of this conclusion shall include a statement of the factor(s) limiting a stronger conclusion.
3	Inconclusive	The observations do not provide a sufficient degree of support for one proposition over the other. Any use of this conclusion shall include a statement of the factor(s) limiting a stronger conclusion.
4	Support for Different Source	The observations provide more support for the proposition that the evidence originated from different sources rather than the same source; however, there is insufficient support for a Source Exclusion. The degree of support may range from limited to strong or similar descriptors of the degree of support. Any use of this conclusion shall include a statement of the factor(s) limiting a stronger conclusion.
5	Source Exclusion	The observations provide extremely strong support for the proposition that the evidence originated from a different source and the likelihood for the proposition that the evidence arose from the same source is so remote as to be considered a practical impossibility; or the evidence exhibits fundamentally different characteristics

We invite you to direct your questions to:
Abby Schwaderer, Quality Assurance Manager
(740) 845-2517
abby.schwaderer@ohioattorneygeneral.gov

Kelsey Cramer
Statement of Qualifications
Kelsey.Cramer@OhioAGO.gov

Education

- Bachelor of Science, Forensic and Investigative Science, Minors in Anthropology and Biology.
May 2013. West Virginia University. Morgantown, West Virginia

Professional Experience

- Ohio Bureau of Criminal Identification and Investigation. Firearm and Tool Mark Examiner. Firearm Section. October 2022 – Present.
- Hamilton County Coroner’s Office Crime Laboratory. Firearm and Tool Mark Examiner. Firearm Section. August 2019 – October 2022.
- Arkansas State Crime Laboratory. Firearm and Tool Mark Examiner. Firearm Section. January 2014 – August 2019.
- San Francisco Police Department Crime Laboratory. Firearm and Tool Mark Intern. Firearm Section. May 2012 – August 2012.

Required Technical Training

- Ohio Bureau of Criminal Identification and Investigation. Firearm Training. 2022.

Memberships

- Association of Firearms and Tool Mark Examiners. Regular Member. June 2018 – Present.
- Association of Firearms and Tool Mark Examiners. Provisional Member. 2014 – June 2018.

Certifications

- Association of Firearms and Tool Mark Examiners (AFTE). Firearm Evidence Examination and Identification (AFTE-FA).
November 2024 – Present.

A complete CV can be made available upon request

Updated 11/04/2024