

**Investigative Activity:** 

## Ohio Attorney General's Office Bureau of Criminal Investigation **Investigative Report**



Miami

2025-0559 Officer Involved Critical Incident -Township, Ohio (Montgomery Co.) (L)

**Interview with Witness** 

Date of Activity:  O2/28/2025  Activity Location:  Miami Twp. (Miamisburg) Police Department - Business - 2660 Lyons Road, Miamisburg, OH 45342  Author:  SA Steven Seitzman  Narrative:  On Friday, February 28, 2025, at approximately 1215 hours, Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation Special Agents Steven Seitzman and Richard Ward interviewed Miami Township Police who was accompanied by his attorney Joseph Hegedus and union representative Sergeant Raymond Swallen. The interview took place in a conference room inside the Miami Township Police Department. The interview was audio recorded.  The details below summarize what the author believed to be the pertinent portions of the recorded interview and are not a verbatim account. Further, this report was placed in a chronology to aid the reader's overall understanding of the information elicited during the interview and may not be reflective of the actual sequencing of questions. It is suggested that the full audio recording be reviewed.  was identified as being a police officer who witnessed and/or had some level of involvement in the February 19, 2025, officer-involved critical incident that occurred at	_	
Activity Location:  Miami Twp. (Miamisburg) Police Department – Business – 2660 Lyons Road, Miamisburg, OH 45342  Author:  SA Steven Seitzman  Narrative:  On Friday, February 28, 2025, at approximately 1215 hours, Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation Special Agents Steven Seitzman and Richard Ward interviewed Miami Township Police who was accompanied by his attorney Joseph Hegedus and union representative Sergeant Raymond Swallen. The interview took place in a conference room inside the Miami Township Police Department. The interview was audio recorded.  The details below summarize what the author believed to be the pertinent portions of the recorded interview and are not a verbatim account. Further, this report was placed in a chronology to aid the reader's overall understanding of the information elicited during the interview and may not be reflective of the actual sequencing of questions. It is suggested that the full audio recording be reviewed.  Was identified as being a police officer who witnessed and/or had some level of involvement in the February 19, 2025, officer-involved critical incident that occurred at Miami Township, Montgomery County, Ohio. Reportedly did not discharge a firearm during the incident. The purpose of the interview was to obtain all relevant information about the incident known or observed by did not discharge a firearm during the incident known or observed by did not discharge that he was working his usual shift, which was hours. He stated that he was wearing his department-issued uniform, which included an exterior ballistic vest. He stated that his uniform made him clearly identifiable as a police officer.  During his shift on February 19, 2025, he stated that he responded to a domestic disturbance on He stated that the information he had received was that a subject was	Involves:	
Author: SA Steven Seitzman  Narrative:  On Friday, February 28, 2025, at approximately 1215 hours, Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation Special Agents Steven Seitzman and Richard Ward interviewed Miami Township Police who was accompanied by his attorney Joseph Hegedus and union representative Sergeant Raymond Swallen. The interview took place in a conference room inside the Miami Township Police Department. The interview was audio recorded.  The details below summarize what the author believed to be the pertinent portions of the recorded interview and are not a verbatim account. Further, this report was placed in a chronology to aid the reader's overall understanding of the information elicited during the interview and may not be reflective of the actual sequencing of questions. It is suggested that the full audio recording be reviewed.  was identified as being a police officer who witnessed and/or had some level of involvement in the February 19, 2025, officer-involved critical incident that occurred at Miami Township, Montgomery County, Ohio. Reportedly did not discharge a firearm during the incident. The purpose of the interview was to obtain all relevant information about the incident known or observed by advised that he was working his usual shift, which was hours. He stated that he was wearing his department-issued uniform, which included an exterior ballistic vest. He stated that his uniform made him clearly identifiable as a police officer.  During his shift on February 19, 2025, he stated that he responded to a domestic disturbance on He stated that the information he had received was that a subject was	Date of Activity:	02/28/2025
Narrative:  On Friday, February 28, 2025, at approximately 1215 hours, Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation Special Agents Steven Seitzman and Richard Ward interviewed Miami Township Police who was accompanied by his attorney Joseph Hegedus and union representative Sergeant Raymond Swallen. The interview took place in a conference room inside the Miami Township Police Department. The interview was audio recorded.  The details below summarize what the author believed to be the pertinent portions of the recorded interview and are not a verbatim account. Further, this report was placed in a chronology to aid the reader's overall understanding of the information elicited during the interview and may not be reflective of the actual sequencing of questions. It is suggested that the full audio recording be reviewed.  was identified as being a police officer who witnessed and/or had some level of involvement in the February 19, 2025, officer-involved critical incident that occurred at Miami Township, Montgomery County, Ohio. Reportedly did not discharge a firearm during the incident. The purpose of the interview was to obtain all relevant information about the incident known or observed by  advised that he has been a Miami Township police officer for years. He advised that he was working his usual shift, which was advised that he was working his usual shift, which was hours. He stated that he was wearing his department-issued uniform, which included an exterior ballistic vest. He stated that his uniform made him clearly identifiable as a police officer.  During his shift on February 19, 2025, he stated that he responded to a domestic disturbance on He stated that the information he had received was that a subject was	Activity Location:	
On Friday, February 28, 2025, at approximately 1215 hours, Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation Special Agents Steven Seitzman and Richard Ward interviewed Miami Township Police who was accompanied by his attorney Joseph Hegedus and union representative Sergeant Raymond Swallen. The interview took place in a conference room inside the Miami Township Police Department. The interview was audio recorded.  The details below summarize what the author believed to be the pertinent portions of the recorded interview and are not a verbatim account. Further, this report was placed in a chronology to aid the reader's overall understanding of the information elicited during the interview and may not be reflective of the actual sequencing of questions. It is suggested that the full audio recording be reviewed.  was identified as being a police officer who witnessed and/or had some level of involvement in the February 19, 2025, officer-involved critical incident that occurred at Miami Township, Montgomery County, Ohio. Reportedly did not discharge a firearm during the incident. The purpose of the interview was to obtain all relevant information about the incident known or observed by  advised that he has been a Miami Township police officer for years. He advised that he was working his usual shift, which was hours. He stated that he was wearing his department-issued uniform, which included an exterior ballistic vest. He stated that his uniform made him clearly identifiable as a police officer.  During his shift on February 19, 2025, he stated that he responded to a domestic disturbance on He stated that the information he had received was that a subject was	Author:	SA Steven Seitzman
On Friday, February 28, 2025, at approximately 1215 hours, Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation Special Agents Steven Seitzman and Richard Ward interviewed Miami Township Police who was accompanied by his attorney Joseph Hegedus and union representative Sergeant Raymond Swallen. The interview took place in a conference room inside the Miami Township Police Department. The interview was audio recorded.  The details below summarize what the author believed to be the pertinent portions of the recorded interview and are not a verbatim account. Further, this report was placed in a chronology to aid the reader's overall understanding of the information elicited during the interview and may not be reflective of the actual sequencing of questions. It is suggested that the full audio recording be reviewed.  was identified as being a police officer who witnessed and/or had some level of involvement in the February 19, 2025, officer-involved critical incident that occurred at Miami Township, Montgomery County, Ohio. Reportedly did not discharge a firearm during the incident. The purpose of the interview was to obtain all relevant information about the incident known or observed by  advised that he has been a Miami Township police officer for years. He advised that he was working his usual shift, which was hours. He stated that he was wearing his department-issued uniform, which included an exterior ballistic vest. He stated that his uniform made him clearly identifiable as a police officer.  During his shift on February 19, 2025, he stated that he responded to a domestic disturbance on He stated that the information he had received was that a subject was		
Investigation Special Agents Steven Seitzman and Richard Ward interviewed Miami Township Police who was accompanied by his attorney Joseph Hegedus and union representative Sergeant Raymond Swallen. The interview took place in a conference room inside the Miami Township Police Department. The interview was audio recorded.  The details below summarize what the author believed to be the pertinent portions of the recorded interview and are not a verbatim account. Further, this report was placed in a chronology to aid the reader's overall understanding of the information elicited during the interview and may not be reflective of the actual sequencing of questions. It is suggested that the full audio recording be reviewed.  Was identified as being a police officer who witnessed and/or had some level of involvement in the February 19, 2025, officer-involved critical incident that occurred at Miami Township, Montgomery County, Ohio. Reportedly did not discharge a firearm during the incident. The purpose of the interview was to obtain all relevant information about the incident known or observed by advised that he was working his usual shift, which was hours. He stated that he was wearing his department-issued uniform, which included an exterior ballistic vest. He stated that his uniform made him clearly identifiable as a police officer.  During his shift on February 19, 2025, he stated that he responded to a domestic disturbance on He stated that the information he had received was that a subject was	Narrative:	
recorded interview and are not a verbatim account. Further, this report was placed in a chronology to aid the reader's overall understanding of the information elicited during the interview and may not be reflective of the actual sequencing of questions. It is suggested that the full audio recording be reviewed.  was identified as being a police officer who witnessed and/or had some level of involvement in the February 19, 2025, officer-involved critical incident that occurred at Miami Township, Montgomery County, Ohio. Reportedly did not discharge a firearm during the incident. The purpose of the interview was to obtain all relevant information about the incident known or observed by advised that he has been a Miami Township police officer for years. He advised that he was working his usual shift, which was hours. He stated that he was wearing his department-issued uniform, which included an exterior ballistic vest. He stated that his uniform made him clearly identifiable as a police officer.  During his shift on February 19, 2025, he stated that he responded to a domestic disturbance on He stated that the information he had received was that a subject was	Investigation Special Agent Police union representative Serge	who was accompanied by his attorney Joseph Hegedus and eant Raymond Swallen. The interview took place in a conference
involvement in the February 19, 2025, officer-involved critical incident that occurred at Miami Township, Montgomery County, Ohio. Reportedly did not discharge a firearm during the incident. The purpose of the interview was to obtain all relevant information about the incident known or observed by advised that he has been a Miami Township police officer for years. He advised that he was working his usual shift, which was hours. He stated that he was wearing his department-issued uniform, which included an exterior ballistic vest. He stated that his uniform made him clearly identifiable as a police officer.  During his shift on February 19, 2025, he stated that he responded to a domestic disturbance on He stated that the information he had received was that a subject was	recorded interview and are chronology to aid the read interview and may not be r	e not a verbatim account. Further, this report was placed in a er's overall understanding of the information elicited during the reflective of the actual sequencing of questions. It is suggested that
advised that he was working his usual shift, which was hours. He stated that he was wearing his department-issued uniform, which included an exterior ballistic vest. He stated that his uniform made him clearly identifiable as a police officer.  During his shift on February 19, 2025, he stated that he responded to a domestic disturbance on the stated that the information he had received was that a subject was	involvement in the Februar , Miami Tow discharge a firearm during	ry 19, 2025, officer-involved critical incident that occurred at which was in the incident. The purpose of the interview was to obtain all
on . He stated that the information he had received was that a subject was	advised that he was workir he was wearing his departi	ng his usual shift, which was the stated that ment-issued uniform, which included an exterior ballistic vest. He
	on . He stat	ed that the information he had received was that a subject was

This document is the property of the Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation and is confidential in nature. Neither the document nor its contents are to be disseminated outside your agency except as provided by law - a statute,

an administrative rule, or any rule of procedure.

identified as Jayden C. Stephenson, was someone he had never met before. stated that he arrived and observed standing at the front door of the residence. He stated that he could also see emotional family members in the front yard. He retrieved a less-lethal shotgun and loaded it with six beanbag rounds. He stated the shotgun had orange markings, which identified it as a less-lethal weapon. As he approached the residence, one of the family members indicated to him that Jayden suffered from mental health issues. He responded to her and told her he had a less-lethal shotgun. He then joined . He stated that a medic had been called to stage in the area given the situation. looked inside the residence and saw Jayden sitting on a barstool holding a large knife. He stated that Jayden was refusing commands to drop the knife. He stated that the knife looked like an "old-style fixed blade." He identified the knife as an object capable of causing serious physical harm or death. stated that Jayden appeared agitated and aggressive. He stated that Jayden refused several commands from to drop the knife. He recalled telling Jayden that force would be used if he did not comply. He recalled Jayden responding at one point by saying, "One of you may find out." He stated that he understood Jayden's comment as a threat that he would "get at least one of us [police officer]." recalled developing a plan with He planned to deploy the less-lethal shotgun if Jayden stood up from the barstool. He said that his intent at this point during the situation was to arrest layden for domestic violence and attempted felonious assault. He stated that Jayden then stood up, at which point he discharged a lesslethal beanbag round from the shotgun. At the same time, discharged his TASER. He said, "He [Jayden] ran, you know, towards us a couple of steps, but kind of like laterally at an angle towards the stairwell." He could not recall how many less-lethal rounds he fired, but he believed they struck Jayden. He explained that the rounds had no effect on Jayden. He said Jayden then ran up the stairs. reported that the officers ascended the stairs and regrouped. He maintained less-lethal coverage with the beanbag shotgun. He recalled officers providing additional commands at the door but received no response. kicked the door open and provided more commands. He remembered observing Jayden's legs protruding from a bathroom on the left side of the room. Officers provided additional commands but again received no response. approached Jayden with his duty weapon. who had his TASER out, followed moved up with them. positioned himself on the bed to gain a better view of Jayden. He observed layden holding the knife in his right hand and noted that layden was directly looking at him while smiling. Despite multiple officers' commands to drop the knife, Jayden firmly clutched it. deploying the TASER, but Jayden was able to "fight it." He said, "He He recalled [layden] raised his arm up and jumped up quick and started coming towards me with his, you know, and I just started firing with the beanbag gun, trying to incapacitate him." At the same attempted to retreat but fell onto the bed. He said, "The last thing I remember is him coming at me, gunfire, falling, kind of at the same time, and him

This document is the property of the Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation and is confidential in nature. Neither the document nor its contents are to be disseminated outside your agency except as provided by law – a statute, an administrative rule, or any rule of procedure.

fallinggoing to my left."
SA Seitzman inquired about the proximity of Jayden to during the final moments of the incident. Stated that as he was firing the less-lethal shotgun, Jayden locked eyes with him and came within five or six feet of him.
SA Seitzman asked if he could have retreated to a position of cover or concealment. He answered in the negative, stating that it was an open bedroom.
SA Seitzman asked if he recalled who fired their weapons. He stated that he did not observe who fired, and he had no independent recollection of hearing gunshots. He said he saw blood and formed the opinion that Jayden was shot. He stated that he saw Jayden lying on the ground bleeding. He observed the knife still in Jayden's hand, so he kicked it out of his hand to prevent him from using it.
said he instructed officers to begin life-saving efforts. He located a shirt and provided it to to use to hold pressure on the wounds. He stated that he did not provide medical aid, but from what he saw, it appeared that the officers did everything they could to save Jayden's life. He stated that the medics entered the room after several minutes.
stated that he learned that had fired, but he did not recall who the information came from. He removed from the scene and took him to the backyard of the residence. He stated that he later took photographs of He recalled that Detective Ethan Bens collected the officers' duty belts and weapons.
SA Ward inquired if was certified to use the less-lethal shotgun. He answered that he was and further elaborated that all officers are certified to use it.
SA Ward asked if he believed the officers who used deadly force acted within policy. He answered in the affirmative and stated that he believed Jayden was going to kill him. SA Seitzman inquired why he did not use lethal force. He stated that he had the less-lethal shotgun in his hand and did not have time to switch to another option. He further clarified that he knew another officer had lethal cover.
SA Seitzman asked if he had viewed body-worn camera footage prior to the interview. He stated that he had viewed it; however, it did not change his opinion or recollection of the critical portion of the incident.
The interview was concluded at 1237 hours. The audio recording is attached to this investigative report.
References:
No references.
Attachments:
Attachment # 01: 2025-02-28 Interview of

This document is the property of the Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation and is confidential in nature. Neither the document nor its contents are to be disseminated outside your agency except as provided by law – a statute, an administrative rule, or any rule of procedure.

## Exhibit 1

Included as a separate file.