1714.

DISAPPROVAL, BONDS OF VILLAGE OF BEDFORD, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, \$1,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, September 4, 1924.

Retirement Board, State Teachers' Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

Re: Bonds of Village of Bedford, Cuyahoga County, \$1,000.00.

Gentlemen:-

I have examined the transcript furnished this department in connection with the foregoing issue of bonds, and find that I cannot approve the purchase of the same by you for the reasons set forth in the opinion rendered in connection with the \$6,000.00 issue, which has been submitted to this department under a separate resolution of purchase.

Respectfully,
C. C. Crabbe,
Attorney General.

1715.

ABSTRACT, STATUS OF TITLE, NORTH HALF OF LOT 102 OF HAMILTON'S SECOND GARDEN ADDITION, COLUMBUS, OHIO.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, September 5, 1924.

HON. CHARLES V. TRUAX, Director of Agriculture, Columbus, Ohio.

Dear Sir:-

This will acknowledge receipt from your department of an abstract covering the north half of Lot 102 of Hamilton's Second Garden Addition to the City of Columbus, which you submit for our examination and opinion.

Your attention is directed to the necessity of the proper certificate of the Director of Finance to the effect that there are unincumbered balances legally appropriated sufficient to cover the purchase price of the premises intended to be purchased. This certificate should accompany the abstract and must be attached to the opinion of this department approving the title before same is submitted to the state auditor.

The abstract under consideration was prepared by Adolph Haak & Co., abstracters, August 10, 1905, with continuations made thereto by Graves and Westervelt, abstracters, March 11, 1922, by Jerry Dennis, Attorney, April 10, 1923, by Graves & Westervelt, Abstracters, on June 17, 1924, and by E. M. Baldridge, Attorney, on August 18, 1924, and pertains to the following premises:

Being the north half of lot Number One Hundred and Two (102) excepting 6 feet off the rear end reserved for use as an alley in Hamilton's Second Garden Addition, as the same is numbered and delineated upon the