1010 OPINIONS

In view of the fact, however, that the transcript discloses that the provisions of Section 3298-15a have not been complied with as commented upon above, I am compelled to advise you not to purchase the above bonds.

Respectfully,
GILBERT BETTMAN,
Attorney General.

670.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP, MORROW COUNTY —\$567.43.

Columbus, Ohio, July 25, 1929.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

671.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF TULLY TOWNSHIP, MARION COUNTY— \$11,207.51.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, July 25, 1929.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

672.

HEALTH BOARD—DISTRICT—ORDERS MAY REFER TO STATUTORY PENALTY FOR THEIR VIOLATION.

SYLLABUS:

An order of a district board of health made pursuant to the provisions of Section 1261-42, General Code, intended for the general public, may contain a reference to the statutory penalty for violation of such orders, which penalty is set forth in Section 4414, General Code. If references to a penalty is made in such order, it should be so worded as to clearly indicate that the district board of health is not fixing the penalty.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, July 26, 1929.

Hon. E. B. Unverferth, Prosecuting Attorney, Ottawa, Ohio. Dear Sir:—Your letter of recent date is as follows:

"Section 1261-42 of the General Code of Ohio reads as follows:
"The board of health of a general health district may make such orders