



Ohio Attorney General's Office
Bureau of Criminal Investigation
Investigative Report



2026-0255

Officer Involved Critical Incident - 24496 Clareshire Dr.
Apt. F, North Olmsted, OH 44070, Cuyahoga County (L)

Investigative Activity: Firearms Lab Report Received and Reviewed
Involves: John Johnson (S), [REDACTED] (S), Michael Taylor (W)
Activity Date: 03/16/2026
Activity Location: 4055 Highlander Parkway, Richfield, OH
Authoring Agent: SA Jesse Bynum

Narrative:

On Monday, March 16, 2026, Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation (BCI) Special Agent (SA) Jesse Bynum (SA Bynum) received Ohio BCI Laboratory report(s) for items of evidence submitted on February 3, 2026 for scientific analysis (laboratory case number 26-30920). The report originated from the Firearms section of the laboratory and was authored by Forensic Scientist Andrew Chappell. The items relevant to this report which had previously been submitted were as follows:

Lab Submission	Matrix	Description
1	001	One (1) Luger +P fired cartridge case
2	002	One (1) Luger +P fired cartridge case
3	003	One (1) Luger +P fired cartridge case
4	004	One (1) Luger +P fired cartridge case
5	005	One (1) fired bullet
6	006	One (1) Luger +P fired cartridge case
7	007	One (1) Luger +P fired cartridge case
8	008	One (1) Luger +P fired cartridge case
9	009	One (1) Luger +P fired cartridge case
10	010	One (1) Luger +P fired cartridge case
11	011	One (1) Luger +P fired cartridge case
12	012	One (1) copper jacket fragment
13	015	One (1) Luger +P fired cartridge case

This document is the property of the Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation and is confidential in nature. Neither the document nor its contents are to be disseminated outside your agency except as provided by law - a statute, an administrative rule, or any rule of procedure.



Ohio Attorney General's Office
Bureau of Criminal Investigation
Investigative Report



2026-0255

Officer Involved Critical Incident - 24496 Clareshire Dr.
Apt. F, North Olmsted, OH 44070, Cuyahoga County (L)

Lab Submission	Matrix	Description
14	016	One (1) Luger +P fired cartridge case
15	018	One (1) Luger +P fired cartridge case
16	019	One (1) Luger +P fired cartridge case
17	022	One (1) magazine and two (2) Luger +P cartridge
19	028	One (1) fired bullet
20	029	One (1) fired bullet
21	030	One (1) lead fragment
22	031	One (1) fired bullet
23	032	One (1) fired bullet
24	033	One (1) Glock 45 Auto semi-automatic pistol, model 36, serial number RUP233; one (1) magazine; and one (1) manila envelope containing seven (7) 45 Auto cartridges
25	034	One (1) Glock 9mm Luger semi-automatic pistol, model 45, serial number [REDACTED] and one (1) manila envelope containing one (1) 9mm Luger +P cartridge
27	039	One (1) fired bullet
28	040	One (1) fired bullet
29	041	One (1) fired bullet
30	042	One (1) fired bullet
31	043	One (1) fired bullet
32	044	One (1) fired bullet
33	045	One (1) fired bullet (33EB1) and one (1) lead fragment (33EB2)

SA Bynum reviewed the laboratory report and noted the following:

Matrix item #033, the pistol recovered from the right-side floor by John Johnson (Johnson) was found to be operable. Matrix item #034, the pistol recovered from [REDACTED] was found to be operable and was found to match lab items 1, 4, 6-11, and 13-15 – thirteen (13) 9mm Luger +p fired cartridge cases and lab items 19 – 20, 22-23, and 27-33EB1 – eleven fired bullets. Lab item #5, a fired bullet

This document is the property of the Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation and is confidential in nature. Neither the document nor its contents are to be disseminated outside your agency except as provided by law - a statute, an administrative rule, or any rule of procedure.



**Ohio Attorney General's Office
Bureau of Criminal Investigation
Investigative Report**



2026-0255

Officer Involved Critical Incident - 24496 Clareshire Dr.
Apt. F, North Olmsted, OH 44070, Cuyahoga County (L)

was inconclusive. Lab items #12, #21, and #33EB2 were found to be unsuitable for comparison. All shown in image 1 below from the lab report.

Item Description	Comparison	Conclusion
Item #24 -- Glock pistol	N/A	Operable

Item Description	Comparison	Conclusion
Item #25 -- Glock pistol	N/A	Operable
	Item #5 -- one (1) fired bullet	Inconclusive*
	Items #1 - #4, #6 - #11, and #13 - #15 -- thirteen (13) 9mm Luger +P fired cartridge cases	Source Identification
	Items #19 - #20, #22 - #23, and #27 - #33EB1 -- eleven (11) fired bullets	Source Identification

Item Description	Comparison	Conclusion
Items #12, #21, and #33EB2 -- one (1) copper jacket fragment and two (2) lead fragments	N/A	Unsuitable^

*Similar class characteristics but insufficient corresponding individual characteristics to identify or exclude.

^Insufficient class and/or individual characteristics present.

(Image 1)

A copy of the Ohio BCI Laboratory report is attached to this investigative report. Please refer to the attachment for further details.

References:

None

Attachments:

1. 2026-02-19 2026-0255 Firearms Lab Report

This document is the property of the Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation and is confidential in nature. Neither the document nor its contents are to be disseminated outside your agency except as provided by law - a statute, an administrative rule, or any rule of procedure.



DAVE YOST

OHIO ATTORNEY GENERAL

Bureau of Criminal Investigation

Laboratory Report
Firearms

To: Ohio Attorney General's Office BCI Laboratory Number: 26-30920
Jesse O. Bynum
30 E. Broad Street
Columbus, OH 43215

Analysis Date: February 09, 2026 Issue Date: February 19, 2026

Agency Case Number: 2026-0255
BCI Agent: Michael Rostocil

Offense: Shooting Involving an Officer
Subject(s):
Victim(s):

Submitted on 02/03/2026 by Jesse O. Bynum

1. One manila envelope containing cartridge case
- One (1) 9mm Luger +P fired cartridge case.
2. One manila envelope containing cartridge case
- One (1) 9mm Luger +P fired cartridge case.
3. One manila envelope containing cartridge case
- One (1) 9mm Luger +P fired cartridge case.
4. One manila envelope containing cartridge case
- One (1) 9mm Luger +P fired cartridge case.
5. One manila envelope containing bullet
- One (1) fired bullet.
6. One manila envelope containing cartridge case
- One (1) 9mm Luger +P fired cartridge case.
7. One manila envelope containing cartridge case
- One (1) 9mm Luger +P fired cartridge case.
8. One manila envelope containing cartridge case
- One (1) 9mm Luger +P fired cartridge case.
9. One manila envelope containing cartridge case
- One (1) 9mm Luger +P fired cartridge case.

Please address inquiries to the office indicated, using the BCI case number.

[] BCI -Bowling Green Office
750 North College Drive
Bowling Green, OH 43402
Phone:(419)353-5603

[] BCI -London Office
1560 St Rt 56 SW P.O. Box 365
London, OH 43140
Phone:(740)845-2000

[X] BCI -Richfield Office
4055 Highlander Pkwy. Suite A
Richfield, OH 44286
Phone:(330)659-4600

AK

10. One manila envelope containing cartridge case
 - One (1) 9mm Luger +P fired cartridge case.
11. One manila envelope containing cartridge case
 - One (1) 9mm Luger +P fired cartridge case.
12. One manila envelope containing bullet fragment
 - One (1) copper jacket fragment.
13. One manila envelope containing cartridge case
 - One (1) 9mm Luger +P fired cartridge case.
14. One manila envelope containing cartridge case
 - One (1) 9mm Luger +P fired cartridge case.
15. One manila envelope containing cartridge case
 - One (1) 9mm Luger +P fired cartridge case.
16. One manila envelope containing bullet
 - One (1) 9mm Luger +P cartridge.
17. One manila envelope containing magazine
 - One (1) magazine and two (2) 9mm Luger +P cartridges.
19. One manila envelope containing bullet
 - One (1) fired bullet.
20. One manila envelope containing bullet
 - One (1) fired bullet.
21. One manila envelope containing bullet fragment
 - One (1) lead fragment.
22. One manila envelope containing bullet
 - One (1) fired bullet.
23. One manila envelope containing bullet
 - One (1) fired bullet.
24. One cardboard box containing firearm (Serial #RUP233) magazine with cartridges
 - One (1) Glock 45 Auto semi-automatic pistol, model 36, serial number RUP233; one (1) magazine; and one (1) manila envelope containing seven (7) 45 Auto cartridges.
25. One cardboard box containing firearm (serial [REDACTED]) with cartridge
 - One (1) Glock 9mm Luger semi-automatic pistol, model 45, serial number [REDACTED] and one (1) manila envelope containing one (1) 9mm Luger +P cartridge.
27. One manila envelope containing bullet
 - One (1) fired bullet.
28. One manila envelope containing bullet
 - One (1) fired bullet.
29. One manila envelope containing bullet
 - One (1) fired bullet.
30. One manila envelope containing bullet
 - One (1) fired bullet.
31. One manila envelope containing bullet
 - One (1) fired bullet.
32. One manila envelope containing bullet
 - One (1) fired bullet.

33. One manila envelope containing bullet
- One (1) fired bullet (33EB1) and one (1) lead fragment (33EB2).

Findings

Item Description	Comparison	Conclusion
Item #24 -- Glock pistol	N/A	Operable

Item Description	Comparison	Conclusion
Item #25 -- Glock pistol	N/A	Operable
	Item #5 -- one (1) fired bullet	Inconclusive*
	Items #1 - #4, #6 - #11, and #13 - #15 -- thirteen (13) 9mm Luger +P fired cartridge cases	Source Identification
	Items #19 - #20, #22 - #23, and #27 - #33EB1 -- eleven (11) fired bullets	Source Identification

Item Description	Comparison	Conclusion
Items #12, #21, and #33EB2 -- one (1) copper jacket fragment and two (2) lead fragments	N/A	Unsuitable^

*Similar class characteristics but insufficient corresponding individual characteristics to identify or exclude.

^Insufficient class and/or individual characteristics present.

Remarks

The magazine and two (2) cartridges from Item #17 were used for test firing Item #25.

There were no pertinent findings with regard to the submitted cartridges from Items #24, #25, and #16.

Item #5 was examined and determined to be a copper jacketed hollow-point bullet exhibiting six-sided Glock Marksman rifling that is consistent with 9mm Luger caliber.

Item #12 was examined and found to be a copper jacket fragment of indeterminate caliber lacking any discernable class characteristics.

Items #21 and #33EB2 were examined and each found to be a lead fragment of indeterminate caliber lacking any discernable class characteristics.

All evidence will be returned to the submitting agency.

Analytical Detail

Analytical findings offered above were determined using visual, physical, and microscopic examinations / comparisons.

Andrew S. Chappell

Andrew Chappell
Forensic Scientist
(234) 400-3650
andrew.chappell@OhioAGO.gov



Based on scientific analyses performed, this report contains opinions and interpretations by the analyst whose signature appears above. Examination documentation and any demonstrative data supporting laboratory conclusions are maintained by BCI and will be made available for review upon request. Results relate only to the items tested.

Your feedback is important to us! Please complete our Laboratory Satisfaction Survey at: <https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/Q9VQHL5>

Comparison Conclusion Scale

The following lists the conclusions a Forensic Scientist may reach when performing comparisons. In reaching a conclusion, a Forensic Scientist considers the similarities and dissimilarities and assesses the relative support of the observations under the following two propositions: the evidence originated from the same source or from a different source.

A Forensic Scientist may utilize their knowledge, training, and experience to evaluate how much support the observed similarities or dissimilarities provide for one conclusion over another. A conclusion shall not be communicated with absolute certainty. It is an interpretation of observations made by the Forensic Scientists and shall be expressed as an expert opinion.

1	Source Identification	The observations provide extremely strong support for the proposition that the evidence originated from the same source and the likelihood for the proposition that the evidence arose from a different source is so remote as to be considered a practical impossibility.
2	Support for Same Source	The observations provide more support for the proposition that the evidence originated from the same source rather than different sources; however, there is insufficient support for a Source Identification. The degree of support may range from limited to strong or similar descriptors of the degree of support. Any use of this conclusion shall include a statement of the factor(s) limiting a stronger conclusion.
3	Inconclusive	The observations do not provide a sufficient degree of support for one proposition over the other. Any use of this conclusion shall include a statement of the factor(s) limiting a stronger conclusion.
4	Support for Different Source	The observations provide more support for the proposition that the evidence originated from different sources rather than the same source; however, there is insufficient support for a Source Exclusion. The degree of support may range from limited to strong or similar descriptors of the degree of support. Any use of this conclusion shall include a statement of the factor(s) limiting a stronger conclusion.
5	Source Exclusion	The observations provide extremely strong support for the proposition that the evidence originated from a different source and the likelihood for the proposition that the evidence arose from the same source is so remote as to be considered a practical impossibility; or the evidence exhibits fundamentally different characteristics

We invite you to direct your questions to:
Abby Schwaderer, Quality Assurance Manager
(740) 845-2517
abby.schwaderer@ohioattorneygeneral.gov

Andrew Chappell
Statement of Qualifications
Andrew.Chappell@OhioAGO.gov

Education

- Master of Science in Forensic Science. June 2001. University of Alabama at Birmingham. Birmingham, AL
- Bachelor of Science in Chemistry. May 1997. Hampden-Sydney College. Hampden-Sydney, VA

Professional Experience

- Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation. Forensic Scientist. November 2001 -- Present
- Virginia Division of Forensic Sciences. Student Intern. September 2000 -- November 2000.

Required Technical Training

- Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation. Firearms Training. 2001 -- 2002
- Forensic Technology. NIBIN Training. 2002

Memberships

- NIBIN Users Congress. 2007 - 2016

A complete CV can be made available upon request
Updated 03/28/2024