1715.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF CITY OF TOLEDO, LUCAS COUNTY, OHIO, \$20,000.00, PART OF ISSUE DATED SEPTEMBER 1, 1927.

Columbus, Ohio, January 6, 1938.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio. Gentlemen:

RE: Bonds of City of Toledo, Lucas County, Ohio, \$20,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated September 1, 1927. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to your board under date of December 19, 1934, being Opinion No. 3645.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute a valid and legal obligation of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,

Attorney General.

1716.

APPROVAL, BONDS TOLEDO CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT, LUCAS COUNTY, OHIO, \$4,000.00, PART OF ISSUE DATED FEBRUARY 1, 1921.

Columbus, Ohio, January 6, 1938.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio. Gentlemen:

RE: Bonds of Toledo City School Dist., Lucas County, Ohio, \$4,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above school district dated February 1, 1921. The transcript rela-

tive to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the Industrial Commission under date of January 19, 1935, being Opinion No. 3844.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute a valid and legal obligation of said school district.

Respectfully,
Herbert S. Duffy,
Attorney General.

1717.

APPROVAL, BONDS CITY OF CLEVELAND, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO, \$2,000.00, PART OF ISSUE DATED JULY 1, 1934.

Columbus, Ohio, January 6, 1938.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio. Gentlemen:

RE: Bonds of City of Cleveland, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, \$2,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated July 1, 1934. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to your board under date of September 13, 1934, being Opinion No. 3203.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute a valid and legal obligation of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,

Attorney General.