



**Ohio Attorney General's Office  
Bureau of Criminal Investigation  
Investigative Report**



2025-3447

Officer Involved Critical Incident - 1642 Cherry Ln., Findlay,  
Ohio 45840, Hancock County (L)

**Investigative Activity:** Lab Results and Review

**Involves:** [REDACTED] (S), [REDACTED] (S),

Robert Norton (S)

**Activity Date:** 12/03/2025

**Activity Location:** 750 N. College Dr. Bowling Green, OH 43402

**Authoring Agent:** SA Douglas Burke #162

**Narrative:**

On Wednesday, November 12, 2025, Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation (BCI) Special Agent (SA) Douglas Burke (SA Burke) received Ohio BCI Laboratory report(s) for items of evidence submitted on October 23, 2025 for scientific analysis (laboratory case number 25-23655). The report originated from the Firearms section of the laboratory and was authored by Forensic Scientist Kevin Belcik. The items relevant to this report which had previously been submitted were as follows:

Item	Lab #	Matrix #	CSU#	Testing
.45 Speer Cartridge Case from Scene	1	001	001	Firearms
.45 Fired Bullet Recovered from Front Door at Scene	2	012	012	Firearms
9mm Speer Luger Cartridge Casing from Scene	3	005	005	Firearms
9mm Fired Recovered from Autopsy Bullet	4	C01	C01	Firearms
[REDACTED] [REDACTED] Glock Model 45, 9mm, SN [REDACTED]	5	014	014	Firearms

This document is the property of the Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation and is confidential in nature. Neither the document nor its contents are to be disseminated outside your agency except as provided by law - a statute, an administrative rule, or any rule of procedure.



**Ohio Attorney General's Office  
Bureau of Criminal Investigation  
Investigative Report**



2025-3447

Officer Involved Critical Incident - 1642 Cherry Ln., Findlay,  
Ohio 45840, Hancock County (L)

[REDACTED] Glock Model 21 Gen 4, .45cal, SN [REDACTED]	6	013	013	Firearms
---	---	-----	-----	----------

SA Burke reviewed the laboratory report (image below) and noted the following:

Lab Items #1 and #2 (.45 Speer Cartridge Casing and .45 Fired Bullet Recovered from the Door at the Scene) were compared to Lab Item #6 [REDACTED] firearm, a Glock Model 21, GEN 4, SN: [REDACTED] and were found to have been fired by the same.

Lab Items #3 and #4 (9mm Speer Luger Cartridge Casing and 9mm Fired Bullet from Autopsy of Robert Norton) were compared to Lab Item #5 [REDACTED] firearm, a Glock Model 45, 9mm, SN: [REDACTED] and were found to have been fired by the same.

**Findings**

Item Description	Comparison	Conclusion
Item 5  Glock model 45 pistol	N/A	Operable
	Item 3	Source Identification
	One (1) 9mm Luger fired cartridge case	
	Item 4	Source Identification
	One (1) 9mm Luger fired bullet	

Item Description	Comparison	Conclusion
Item 6  Glock model 21 Gen 4 pistol	N/A	Operable
	Item 1	Source Identification
	One (1) 45 Auto fired cartridge case	
	Item 2	Source Identification
	One (1) 45 Auto fired bullet	

*(Source: BCI Lab Report)*

A copy of the Ohio BCI Laboratory report is attached to this investigative report. Please refer to the attachment for further details.

This document is the property of the Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation and is confidential in nature. Neither the document nor its contents are to be disseminated outside your agency except as provided by law - a statute, an administrative rule, or any rule of procedure.



**Ohio Attorney General's Office  
Bureau of Criminal Investigation  
Investigative Report**



2025-3447

Officer Involved Critical Incident - 1642 Cherry Ln., Findlay,  
Ohio 45840, Hancock County (L)

**References:**

None

**Attachments:**

1. Lab Results Sheet from Firearms and Casings

This document is the property of the Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation and is confidential in nature. Neither the document nor its contents are to be disseminated outside your agency except as provided by law - a statute, an administrative rule, or any rule of procedure.



# DAVE YOST

---

OHIO ATTORNEY GENERAL

**Bureau of Criminal Investigation****Laboratory Report**  
Firearms

To: Ohio Attorney General's Office BCI Laboratory Number: 25-23655  
Doug Burke  
30 E. Broad Street Analysis Date: Issue Date:  
Columbus, OH 43215 October 29, 2025 November 07, 2025

Agency Case Number: 2025-3447  
BCI Agent: David Hammond

Offense: Officer Involved Critical Incident

Subject(s): N/A

Victim(s): N/A

**Submitted on 10/23/2025 by David M. Hammond**

1. One manila envelope containing Speer 45 Auto Cartridge Casing - Scene Placard 1
  - *One (1) 45 Auto fired cartridge case*
2. One manila envelope containing Fired Bullet Recovered from front door. Area BI 4.3
  - *One (1) 45 Auto fired bullet*
3. One manila envelope containing Speer 9mm Luger Cartridge Casing Scene Placard 5
  - *One (1) 9mm Luger fired cartridge case*
4. One manila envelope containing Fired Bullet Recovered from Robert Norton at Autopsy
  - *One (1) 9mm Luger fired bullet*
5. One cardboard box containing firearm belonging to [REDACTED] S/N:  
[REDACTED]
  - *One (1) Glock model 45, 9mm Luger semi-automatic pistol, serial number [REDACTED] with attached Aimpoint brand COA optic and Streamlight brand TLR-7 HL-X weapon mounted light, one (1) magazine, and fifteen (15) 9mm Luger unfired cartridges*
6. One cardboard box containing firearm belonging to [REDACTED] S/N: [REDACTED]
  - *One (1) Glock model 21 Gen 4, 45 Auto semi-automatic pistol, serial number [REDACTED] with attached Streamlight brand model TLR-1 HL weapon mounted light, one (1) magazine, and ten (10) 45 Auto unfired cartridges*

Please address inquiries to the office indicated, using the BCI case number.

---

[X] BCI -Bowling Green Office  
750 North College Drive  
Bowling Green, OH 43402  
Phone:(419)353-5603

[ ] BCI -London Office  
1560 St Rt 56 SW P.O. Box 365  
London, OH 43140  
Phone:(740)845-2000

[ ] BCI -Richfield Office  
4055 Highlander Pkwy. Suite A  
Richfield, OH 44286  
Phone:(330)659-4600

## Findings

Item Description	Comparison	Conclusion
Item 5 Glock model 45 pistol	N/A	Operable
	Item 3	Source Identification
	One (1) 9mm Luger fired cartridge case	
	Item 4	Source Identification
	One (1) 9mm Luger fired bullet	

Item Description	Comparison	Conclusion
Item 6 Glock model 21 Gen 4 pistol	N/A	Operable
	Item 1	Source Identification
	One (1) 45 Auto fired cartridge case	
	Item 2	Source Identification
	One (1) 45 Auto fired bullet	

## Remarks

Five (5) of the fifteen (15) 9mm Luger unfired cartridges from Item 5, and five (5) of the ten (10) 45 Auto unfired cartridges from Item 6, were used for test firing.

All evidence will be returned to the submitting agency.

## Analytical Detail

Analytical findings offered above were determined using visual, physical, and microscopic examinations / comparisons.



Kevin Belcik  
Forensic Scientist  
(419) 419-3577  
kevin.belcik@OhioAGO.gov



Based on scientific analyses performed, this report contains opinions and interpretations by the analyst whose signature appears above. Examination documentation and any demonstrative data supporting laboratory conclusions are maintained by BCI and will be made available for review upon request. Results relate only to the items tested.

Your feedback is important to us! Please complete our Laboratory Satisfaction Survey at: <https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/QLNQ3SY>

Page 2 of 5  
KEB

Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation  
BCI Bowling Green

Lab Case: 25-23655  
Issue Date: November 07, 2025  
Agency Case: 2025-3447

### Comparison Conclusion Scale

The following lists the conclusions a Forensic Scientist may reach when performing comparisons. In reaching a conclusion, a Forensic Scientist considers the similarities and dissimilarities and assesses the relative support of the observations under the following two propositions: the evidence originated from the same source or from a different source.

A Forensic Scientist may utilize their knowledge, training, and experience to evaluate how much support the observed similarities or dissimilarities provide for one conclusion over another. A conclusion shall not be communicated with absolute certainty. It is an interpretation of observations made by the Forensic Scientists and shall be expressed as an expert opinion.

1	Source Identification	The observations provide extremely strong support for the proposition that the evidence originated from the same source and the likelihood for the proposition that the evidence arose from a different source is so remote as to be considered a practical impossibility.
2	Support for Same Source	The observations provide more support for the proposition that the evidence originated from the same source rather than different sources; however, there is insufficient support for a Source Identification. The degree of support may range from limited to strong or similar descriptors of the degree of support. Any use of this conclusion shall include a statement of the factor(s) limiting a stronger conclusion.
3	Inconclusive	The observations do not provide a sufficient degree of support for one proposition over the other. Any use of this conclusion shall include a statement of the factor(s) limiting a stronger conclusion.
4	Support for Different Source	The observations provide more support for the proposition that the evidence originated from different sources rather than the same source; however, there is insufficient support for a Source Exclusion. The degree of support may range from limited to strong or similar descriptors of the degree of support. Any use of this conclusion shall include a statement of the factor(s) limiting a stronger conclusion.
5	Source Exclusion	The observations provide extremely strong support for the proposition that the evidence originated from a different source and the likelihood for the proposition that the evidence arose from the same source is so remote as to be considered a practical impossibility; or the evidence exhibits fundamentally different characteristics

We invite you to direct your questions to:

Abby Schwaderer, Quality Assurance Manager  
(740) 845-2517  
[abby.schwaderer@ohioattorneygeneral.gov](mailto:abby.schwaderer@ohioattorneygeneral.gov)

Kevin Belcik  
**Statement of Qualifications**  
Kevin.Belcik@OhioAGO.gov

---

**Education**

- Bachelors of Science in Applied Physics. May 2013. University of Toledo. Toledo, OH.

**Professional Experience**

- Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation Laboratory. Forensic Scientist. September 2013 – Present.

**Required Technical Training**

- Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation. Firearms Training. September 2013 - December 2014.
- ATF NIBIN Heritage Acquisition and Correlation. Atlanta, GA. February 2014.
- ATF Serial Number Restoration Course. Ammendale, MD. August 2014.
- ATF IBIS Triage and Data Acquisition. Bowling Green, OH. March 2023

**Memberships**

- Association of Firearm and Toolmark Examiners (AFTE). Provisional member since April 2018.  
Regular member since December 2022.

*A complete CV can be made available upon request*

Updated 4/1/2024