1012 OPINIONS

2429.

APPROVAL—BONDS, HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO, \$20,000.00, PART OF ISSUE DATED SEPTEMBER 1, 1932.

Социвия, Оню, Мау 10, 1938.

The Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio. Gentlemen:

RE: Bonds of Hamilton County, Ohio, \$20,000.00.

I have examined the transcript of proceedings relative to the above bonds purchased by you. These bonds comprise part of an issue of local sanitary sewer bonds (Millcreek interception, Districts 3, 8 and 9) in the aggregate amount of \$523,750, dated September 1, 1932, bearing interest at the rate of $4\frac{1}{4}$ % per annum.

From this examination, in the light of the law under authority of which these bonds have been authorized, I am of the opinion that bonds issued under these proceedings constitute valid and legal obligations of said county.

Respectfully,
HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.

2430.

APPROVAL—BONDS, PORTSMOUTH CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT, SCIOTO COUNTY, OHIO, \$53,000.00, DATED MARCH 15, 1938.

Социвия, Оню, Мау 10, 1938.

The Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio. Gentlemen:

RE: Bonds of Portsmouth City School Dist., Scioto County, Ohio, \$53,000.00.

I have examined the transcript of proceedings relative to the above bonds purchased by you. These bonds comprise all of an issue of refunding bonds dated March 15, 1938, bearing interest at the rate of 3% per annum.

From this examination, in the light of the law under authority of which these bonds have been authorized, I am of the opinion that bonds issued under these proceedings constitute valid and legal obligations of said school district.

Respectfully,
HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.

2431.

APPROVAL—BONDS, CITY OF CLEVELAND, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO, \$3,000.00, DATED JULY 1, 1926.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, May 10, 1938.

Retirement Board, State Public School Employes' Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN:

RE: Bonds of City of Cleveland, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, \$3,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated July 1, 1926. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the Industrial Commission under date of October 17, 1936, being Opinion No. 6216.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,
HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.