2483.

APPROVAL, CONTRACT BETWEEN STATE OF OHIO AND THE KENT ELECTRIC COMPANY, OF KENT, OHIO, FOR ELECTRICAL FIXTURES FOR ADDITION TO PHYSICAL EDUCATION BUILDING, KENT STATE NORMAL SCHOOL, KENT, OHIO, AT COST OF \$1195.35.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, May 13, 1925.

Hon. L. A. Boulay, Director, Department of Highways and Public Works, Columbus, Ohio.

DEAR SIR:—You have submitted for my approval a contract between the state of Ohio, acting by the department of highways and public works, and the Kent Electric Company, of Kent, Ohio. This contract covers the electrical fixtures for addition to physical education building, Kent State Normal School, Kent, Ohio, and calls for an expenditure of \$1195.35.

You have submitted the certificate of the director of finance to the effect that there are unencumbered balances legally appropriated in a sum sufficient to cover the obligations of the contract.

You have further submitted evidence indicating that plans were properly prepared and approved, informal bids were taken and tabulated as required by law and the contract duly awarded. Also it appears that the laws relating to the status of the workmen's compensation have been complied with.

Finding said contract in proper legal form, I have this day noted my approval thereon and return the same herewith to you, together with all other data submitted in this connection.

Respectfully,
C. C. CRABBE,
Attorney General.

2484.

APPROVAL, CONTRACT BETWEEN STATE OF OHIO AND THE KENT ELECTRIC COMPANY, OF KENT, OHIO, FOR ELECTRICAL FIXTURES FOR ADDITION TO WOMEN'S DORMITORY BUILDING, KENT STATE NORMAL SCHOOL, KENT, OHIO, AT COST OF \$1735.40.

Columbus, Ohio, May 13, 1925.

Hon. L. A. Boulay, Director, Department of Highways and Public Works, Columbus, Ohio.

DEAR SIR:—You have submitted for my approval a contract between the state of Ohio, acting by the department of highways and public works, and the Kent Electric Company, of Kent, Ohio. This contract covers the electrical fixtures for addition to women's dormitory building, Kent State Normal School, Kent, Ohio, and calls for an expenditure of \$1735.40.

You have submitted the certificate of the director of finance to the effect that there are unencumbered balances legally appropriated in a sum sufficient to cover the obligations of the contract.

You have further submitted evidence indicating that plans were properly prepared and approved, informal bids were taken and tabulated as required by law and the contract duly awarded. Also it appears that the laws relating to the status of the workmen's compensation have been complied with.

Finding said contract in proper legal form, I have this day noted my approval thereon and return the same herewith to you, together with all other data submitted in this connection.

Respectfully,
C. C. CRABBE,
Attorney General.

2485.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF UPPER TOWNSHIP, LAWRENCE COUNTY, \$4,735.19.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, May 13, 1925.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

2486.

DISAPPROVAL, BONDS OF VILLAGE OF JAMESTOWN, GREENE COUNTY, \$22,000.00.

Columbus, Ohio, May 13, 1925.

Re: Bonds of Village of Jamestown, Greene County, \$22,000.00.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN:—An examination of the transcript for this issue of bonds shows that the same are being issued under the provisions of section 3914, General Code, and are therefore to be issued in anticipation of the collection of special assessments.

The transcript submitted is incomplete. Upon the request of this department for information showing that the assessments have been made and advertised as required by section 3895, General Code, and the assessing ordinance passed and published as required by general statutes applicable thereto, the report is now submitted by the solicitor of the village that such proceedings have not yet been had, and he further relates "bonds of this character under constitutional amendment become an obligation of the entire city so far as the bond holder is concerned, and we fail to appreciate the requirement of your attorneys relative to the assessing ordinance."

It is not and should not be the policy of the purchasers of bonds to waive the full requirement of the legislation necessary to bind the primary sources of payment, and furthermore assessment bonds may be issued irrespective of the limitations of amount that can be issued in a fiscal year by the municipality, and irre-