1306 OPINIONS

31, 1917, amended the then existing Section 6370, General Code, in which form it now stands, which relates to the duties of dealers in second-hand articles and requires further duties in reporting to the authorities.

Only in the original enactment in 1864 do I find any reference made to cities of the first and second class. In all subsequent enactments, namely, four in all, the words "cities of the first and second class" are conspicuous by their absence. Each time an amendment was made the wording of the amendment changed somewhat the scope of the act by increasing the various things with which the act was concerned.

It is interesting to note the wording of the latest amendment to this section as it is in its present form, in that it refers to keeping a separate book, open to inspection by a member of the police force, city marshal, constable or other person in which it shall be written, etc. The language of this statute does not confine its operation to cities alone, but takes into its scope of operation a dealer in second-hand articles, whether he is engaged in business in a city, a village or in the country. It is obvious that the intent of the legislature was to make this regulatory measure apply to all dealers in second-hand articles wherever they were situated in business.

I do not believe it necessary to cite any authority for coming to this conclusion, and it is therefore my opinion that the provisions of Sections 6370, 6371, 6372, 6373 and 13398 are applicable to junk dealers wherever they are located in the State of Ohio.

Respectfully,

Herbert S. Duffy,

Attorney General.

728.

APPROVAL—BONDS OF CLEVELAND HEIGHTS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO, \$28,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, June 11, 1937.

State Émployes Retirement Board, Columbus, Ohio. Gentlemen:

RE: Bonds of Cleveland Heights City School Dist., Cuyahoga County, Ohio, \$28,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of two issues of

bonds dated July 15, 1920. The transcripts relative to these issues were approved by this office in opinions rendered to the Teachers Retirement System under date of May 28, 1931, being Opinion No. 3269 (Series 22) and under date of July 19, 1933, being Opinion No. 1050 (Series 23).

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute a valid and legal obligation of said school district.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.

729.

APPROVAL—BONDS OF CITY OF EUCLID, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO, \$50,000.00.

Columbus, Ohio, June 14, 1937.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio. Gentlemen:

RE: Bonds of City of Euclid, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, \$50,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be parts of two issues of bonds of the above city dated August 31, 1935. The transcripts relative to these issues were approved by this office in opinions rendered to the Industrial Commission under date of December 18, 1935, being Opinions Nos. 5008 and 5009.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute a valid and legal obligation of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,

Attorney General.