



**Ohio Attorney General's Office
Bureau of Criminal Investigation
Investigative Report**



2024-3954

Officer Involved Critical Incident - 3487 Belmont Avenue,
Youngstown, Ohio, 44505

Investigative Activity: Firearms Lab Analysis Review

Involves: Jason A. Cain (S), [REDACTED] (S)

Activity Date: 01/30/2025

Activity Location: BCI - Richfield

Authoring Agent: SA Joseph Goudy

Narrative:

On Monday, January 13, 2025, Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation (BCI) Special Agent (SA) Joseph Goudy (Goudy) received Ohio BCI Laboratory report(s) for items of evidence submitted on December 31, 2024, for scientific analysis (laboratory case number 24-39209). The report originated from the Firearms section of the laboratory and was authored by Forensic Scientist Regan Lambert. The items relevant to this report which had previously been submitted were as follows:

Matrix Item #	Lab Item #	Description
001	1	One (1) fired 9mm Luger cartridge case
002	2	One (1) fired 9mm Luger cartridge case
003	3	One (1) fired 9mm Luger cartridge case
004	4	One (1) fired 9mm Luger cartridge case
005	5	One (1) fired bullet jacket
016	6	One (1) Glock 9mm Luger semi-automatic pistol, model 45, serial number [REDACTED], one (1) magazine, and seventeen (17) 9mm Luger cartridges
017	7	One (1) fired bullet
018	8	One (1) fired bullet
021	9	One (1) lead core fragment
023	10	One (1) fired bullet
025	11	One (1) fired 9mm luger cartridge case
028	12	One (1) copper fragment and five (5) lead fragments

This document is the property of the Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation and is confidential in nature. Neither the document nor its contents are to be disseminated outside your agency except as provided by law - a statute, an administrative rule, or any rule of procedure.



**Ohio Attorney General's Office
Bureau of Criminal Investigation
Investigative Report**



2024-3954

Officer Involved Critical Incident - 3487 Belmont Avenue,
Youngstown, Ohio, 44505

SA Goudy reviewed the laboratory report and noted the following:

Item Description	Comparison	Conclusion
Item #6: Glock pistol	N/A	Operable
	Items #1-4 and 11: Five (5) fired 9mm Luger cartridge cases	Source Identification
	Items #5, 7, 8, and 10: One (1) fired bullet jacket and three (3) fired bullets	Source Identification

Item Description	Comparison	Conclusion
Item #9: One (1) lead core fragment	N/A	Unsuitable [^]

Item Description	Comparison	Conclusion
Item #12: One (1) copper fragment and five (5) lead fragments	N/A	Unsuitable [^]

Conclusion:

█████████████████ duty weapon (lab item #6) was found to be operable. ██████████ duty weapon was conclusively linked to five cartridge casings (Lab items #1-4 and 11) found at the scene along with one fired bullet jacket and three fired bullets (lab items #5,7,8, and 10). Lab item #12, the one copper fragment and five lead fragments that were originally removed from the brain of Jason Cain during the autopsy was found to be unsuitable for testing.

A copy of the Ohio BCI Firearms Laboratory report is attached to this investigative report. Please refer to the attachment for further details.

References:

None

Attachments:

1. Lab Results (Firearms) 24-39209-1

This document is the property of the Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation and is confidential in nature. Neither the document nor its contents are to be disseminated outside your agency except as provided by law - a statute, an administrative rule, or any rule of procedure.



To: BCI / Richfield Joseph Goudy 4055 Highlander Parkway Richfield, OH 44286 BCI Laboratory Number: 24-39209 Analysis Date: January 02, 2025 Issue Date: January 08, 2025

Agency Case Number: 2024-3954

Offense: Shooting Involving an Officer
Subject(s):
Victim(s):

Submitted on 12/31/2024 by Betsy Farris

1. Envelope containing cartridge case (MATRIX-CSU ITEM 001)
 - *One (1) fired 9mm Luger cartridge case.*
2. Envelope containing cartridge case (MATRIX-CSU ITEM 002)
 - *One (1) fired 9mm Luger cartridge case.*
3. Envelope containing cartridge case (MATRIX-CSU ITEM 003)
 - *One (1) fired 9mm Luger cartridge case.*
4. Envelope containing cartridge case (MATRIX-CSU ITEM 004)
 - *One (1) fired 9mm Luger cartridge case.*
5. Envelope containing bullet fragment (MATRIX-CSU ITEM 005)
 - *One (1) fired bullet jacket.*
6. One cardboard box containing firearm (Serial [REDACTED]) with cartridge and magazine (Matrix Item 016)
 - *One (1) Glock 9mm Luger semi-automatic pistol, model 45, serial number [REDACTED] one (1) magazine, and seventeen (17) 9mm Luger cartridges.*
7. Envelope containing bullet (Matrix Item 017, CSU Item 010)
 - *One (1) fired bullet.*
8. Envelope containing fired projectile recovered from front driver's side floor (Matrix Item 018, CSU Item 011)
 - *One (1) fired bullet.*
9. Envelope containing bullet fragment (Matrix Item 021, CSU Item 014)
 - *One (1) lead core fragment.*

Please address inquiries to the office indicated, using the BCI case number.

[] BCI -Bowling Green Office
750 North College Drive
Bowling Green, OH 43402
Phone:(419)353-5603

[] BCI -London Office
1560 St Rt 56 SW P.O. Box 365
London, OH 43140
Phone:(740)845-2000

[X] BCI -Richfield Office
4055 Highlander Pkwy. Suite A
Richfield, OH 44286
Phone:(330)659-4600

10. Envelope containing bullet (Matrix 023, CSU Item 016)
 - *One (1) fired bullet.*
11. Envelope containing cartridge case (Matrix 025, CSU Item 018)
 - *One (1) fired 9mm Luger cartridge case.*
12. Envelope containing bullet fragment (Matrix 028)
 - *One (1) copper fragment and five (5) lead fragments.*

Findings

Item Description	Comparison	Conclusion
Item #6: Glock pistol	N/A	Operable
	Items #1-4 and 11: Five (5) fired 9mm Luger cartridge cases	Source Identification
	Items #5, 7, 8, and 10: One (1) fired bullet jacket and three (3) fired bullets	Source Identification

Item Description	Comparison	Conclusion
Item #9: One (1) lead core fragment	N/A	Unsuitable [^]

Item Description	Comparison	Conclusion
Item #12: One (1) copper fragment and five (5) lead fragments	N/A	Unsuitable [^]

[^]Insufficient class and/or individual characteristics present.

Remarks

Four (4) submitted cartridges were used for testing Item #6.

All evidence will be returned to the submitting agency.

Analytical Detail

Analytical findings offered above were determined using visual, physical, and microscopic examinations / comparisons.

Regan C. Lambert

Regan Lambert
Forensic Scientist
(234) 400-3711
Regan.Lambert@OhioAGO.gov



Based on scientific analyses performed, this report contains opinions and interpretations by the analyst whose signature appears above. Examination documentation and any demonstrative data supporting laboratory conclusions are maintained by BCI and will be made available for review upon request. Results relate only to the items tested.

Your feedback is important to us! Please complete our Laboratory Satisfaction Survey at: <https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/Q9VQHLS>

M

Comparison Conclusion Scale

The following lists the conclusions a Forensic Scientist may reach when performing comparisons. In reaching a conclusion, a Forensic Scientist considers the similarities and dissimilarities and assesses the relative support of the observations under the following two propositions: the evidence originated from the same source or from a different source.

A Forensic Scientist may utilize their knowledge, training, and experience to evaluate how much support the observed similarities or dissimilarities provide for one conclusion over another. A conclusion shall not be communicated with absolute certainty. It is an interpretation of observations made by the Forensic Scientists and shall be expressed as an expert opinion.

1	Source Identification	The observations provide extremely strong support for the proposition that the evidence originated from the same source and the likelihood for the proposition that the evidence arose from a different source is so remote as to be considered a practical impossibility.
2	Support for Same Source	The observations provide more support for the proposition that the evidence originated from the same source rather than different sources; however, there is insufficient support for a Source Identification. The degree of support may range from limited to strong or similar descriptors of the degree of support. Any use of this conclusion shall include a statement of the factor(s) limiting a stronger conclusion.
3	Inconclusive	The observations do not provide a sufficient degree of support for one proposition over the other. Any use of this conclusion shall include a statement of the factor(s) limiting a stronger conclusion.
4	Support for Different Source	The observations provide more support for the proposition that the evidence originated from different sources rather than the same source; however, there is insufficient support for a Source Exclusion. The degree of support may range from limited to strong or similar descriptors of the degree of support. Any use of this conclusion shall include a statement of the factor(s) limiting a stronger conclusion.
5	Source Exclusion	The observations provide extremely strong support for the proposition that the evidence originated from a different source and the likelihood for the proposition that the evidence arose from the same source is so remote as to be considered a practical impossibility; or the evidence exhibits fundamentally different characteristics

We invite you to direct your questions to:

Abby Schwaderer, Quality Assurance Manager
(740) 845-2517
abby.schwaderer@ohioattorneygeneral.gov

Regan C. Lambert

Statement of Qualifications

Regan.Lambert@OhioAGO.gov

Education

- Bachelor of Science in Applied Science in Forensic Science. December 2021. Youngstown State University. Youngstown, OH.

Professional Experience

- Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation. Forensic Scientist. September 2022 – present.
- Portage County Coroner's Office. Forensic Investigator. May 2022 – September 2022.
- Youngstown Police Department. Police/Crime Lab Intern. May 2021- July 2021.

Required Technical Training

- Smith & Wesson Armorer's Course. March 2024. Columbus, OH
- Hi-Point Firearms Factory Tour. October 2023. Mansfield, OH.
- Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, & Explosives. NIBIN Triage and Acquisition. April 2023.
- Glock Armorer's Course. November 2022. Avon, OH.
- Annual Midwest AFTE Firearm Training Seminar. October 2022. Indiana State Police Crime Laboratory.
- Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation. Firearms training. September 2022 – January 2024.

A complete CV can be made available upon request

Updated: 7/25/2024