228 OPINIONS

of land is more particularly described in said lease. This lease is executed under the authority of Section 471, General Code, which provides, among other things, that lands of the State adjacent to Indian Lake may be leased by the Superintendent of Public Works under the laws governing the leasing of canal lands.

An examination of the lease submitted shows that the same has been executed in conformity to the statutory provisions applicable to the execution of such leases, and, inasmuch as it further appears that said lease has been signed on behalf of the S. L. Wilgus Company in the manner authorized and directed by the board of directors of said company, said lease is approved. My approval is endorsed on said lease and the duplicate and triplicate copies thereof, all of which are herewith returned. Respectfully.

GILBERT BETTMAN,
Attorney General.

154.

APPROVAL, LEASES TO OHIO CANAL LANDS IN COSHOCTION, PICK-AWAY AND TUSCARAWAS COUNTIES.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, March 6, 1929.

HON. RICHARD T. WISDA, Superintendent of Public Works, Columbus, Ohio.

DEAR SIR:—You have submitted for my examination and approval certain leases executed by the State of Ohio through you as Superintendent of Public Works, as director thereof of parcels of Ohio canal land therein respectively described. The leases referred to are as follows:

Lessce.	Location.		Appi	aised	Value.
Russell H. I.	ittle, Lafayette Township,	Coshocton County,	Ohio	\$350	00
Joseph C. T	homas, Wayne Township,	Pickaway County,	Ohio	250	00
Ed Schilling	Dover Township Tusca	rawas County, Ohi	0	350	00

An examination of said leases shows that the same are in conformity with the provisions of Section 13966, General Code, relating generally to the leasing of canal lands with Sections 14203-12 et seq., General Code, relating to the release of abandoned portions of the Ohio canal, and with other sections of the General Code of Ohio relating to the leasing of lands owned by the State of Ohio.

Said leases are accordingly hereby approved and my approval is endorsed on said original leases and the duplicate and triplicate copies thereof, which are herewith returned.

Respectfully,
GILBERT BETTMAN,
Attorney General.

155.

APPROVAL, LEASES TO BUCKEYE LAKE LANDS, LICKING COUNTY, OHIO.

Columbus, Ohio, March 6, 1929.

HON. RICHARD T. WISDA, Superintendent of Public Works, Columbus, Ohio.

DEAR SIR:—You have submitted for my examination and approval certain leases executed by you as Superintendent of Public Works and as director thereof on behalf

of the State of Ohio, by which there are leased and demised certain parcels of land adjacent to Buckeye Lake in Licking County, Ohio, which said parcels of land are more particularly described in said respective leases. The leases above referred to are the following:

Lessee	aluati	on
Ella Hildebrandt	\$250	00
F. H. Hildebrandt and W. D. Weltner	250	00

An examination of the leases above noted shows that they have been executed in conformity with the provisions of Section 471 and other sections of the General Code relating to the execution of leases of this kind. Said leases are, accordingly, hereby approved, and my approval is endorsed on said leases and the duplicate and triplicate copies thereof, all of which are herewith returned.

Respectfully,
GILBERT BETTMAN,
Attorney General.

156.

APPROVAL, LEASES TO LANDS NEAR LAKE ST. MARYS, AUGLAIZE COUNTY.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, March 6, 1929.

HON. RICHARD T. WISDA, Superintendent of Public Works, Columbus, Ohio.

Dear Sir:—You have submitted for my examination and approval certain leases executed by you as Superintendent of Public Works, as Director thereof, on behalf of the State of Ohio, by which there were leased and demised certain parcels of land adjacent to Lake St. Marys, in Auglaize County, Ohio. The leases referred to are the following:

Lessee Location	Valuation
J. T. Kaufman, Section 4, Township 6 So., Mercer County	\$400 00
Irwin G. Patch, Section 17, Township 6, Auglaize County	250 00
Dosia Waggoner, Section 12, Township 6, Auglaize County	200 00

An examination of the above noted leases shows that the same have been executed in conformity with the provisions of Section 471, General Code, and other statutory provisions applicable in the execution of leases of this kind. Said leases are, therefore, hereby approved and my approval is endorsed on the original and duplicate copies thereof, all of which are herewith returned.

Respectfully,
GILBERT BETTMAN,
Attorney General.

157.

HOUSE BILL NO. 210—CONSTITUTIONAL BUT UNNECESSARY ACT—PROOF OF BENEFIT TO ADJOINING LAND OWNERS IN ASSESSING COSTS OF PARTITION FENCE UNNECESSARY.

SYLLABUS:

House Bill No. 210, providing for the enactment of supplemental Section 5908-1 of the General Code, considered.