

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS ELLEWAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO

TYLOK INTERNATIONAL INC.

Plaintiff

2015 AUG 1 1

OLERK OF COURTS BLAIR A SALSBURY HOYAHOGA COUNTY

Defendant

Case No: CV-09-702788

Judge: JOSE' A VILLANUEVA

JOURNAL ENTRY

96 DISP.OTHER - FINAL

THIS MATTER COMES BEFORE THE COURT PURSUANT TO AN ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL FILED BY APPELLANT TYLOK INTERNATIONAL, INC. PURSUANT TO R.C. 4141.282. THE OHIO UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION REVIEW COMMISSION, OHIO DEPARTMENT OF JOB AND FAMILY SERVICES, PREVIOUSLY DETERMINED THAT APPELLEE. BLAIR A. SALSBURY III WAS DISCHARGED FROM HIS EMPLOYMENT WITHOUT JUST CAUSE. THUS, HIS APPLICATION FOR RECEIPT OF UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION BENEFITS WAS ALLOWED. APPELLANT FILED A TIMELY NOTICE OF APPEAL. THE COURT HAS THOROUGHLY REVIEWED THE RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS: THE BRIEF OF PLAINTIFF-APPELLANT TYLOK INTERNATIONAL, INC. SETTING FORTH ITS ASSIGNMENTS OF ERROR; THE BRIEF OF APPELLEE, DIRECTOR, OHIO DEPARTMENT OF JOB AND FAMILY SERVICES; AND THE REPLY BRIEF OF PLAINTIFF-APPELLANT TYLOK INTERNATIONAL, INC. THE COURT HAS CAREFULLY CONSIDERED THE ARGUMENTS OF COUNSEL, THE LAW CITED IN SUPPORT THEREOF AND THE TRANSCRIPTS OF SWORN TESTIMONY PROVIDED BEFORE REFEREE LOI MEAS, TELEPHONIC SWORN TESTIMONY WAS PRESENTED BY RAYMOND OSTANEK AND MARK CHRISTIAN CORBE ON BEHALF OF APPELLANT. BLAIR SALSBURY III ALSO TESTIFIED UNDER OATH. THE REFEREE WAS PRESENTED WITH CONFLICTING TESTIMONY, AFTER WHICH THE REFEREE ISSUED A DECISION IN FAVOR OF MR. SALSBURY, RESOLVING THE DISPUTES IN THE EVIDENCE IN FAVOR OF THE ALLOWANCE OF UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS BY MR. SALSBURY. PURSUANT TO IRVINE V. UNEMPLOY, COMP, BD, OF REVIEW (1985), 19 OHIO ST.3D 15 THE COURT'S ROLE IS LIMITED TO DETERMINING WHETHER THE REVIEW COMMISSION'S DECISION IS UNLAWFUL, UNREASONABLE, OR AGAINST THE MANIFEST WEIGHT OF THE EVIDENCE. IN LIGHT OF THE ENTIRETY OF THE RECORD, THE COURT AFFIRMS THE DECISION OF THE DIRECTOR, OHIO DEPARTMENT OF JOB AND FAMILY SERVICES, FINDING THAT IT WAS NOT UNREASONABLE, UNLAWFUL OR AGAINST THE MANIFEST WEIGHT OF THE EVIDENCE. FINAL. COURT COST ASSESSED TO THE PLAINTIFF(S).

PURSUANT TO CIV.R. 58(B), THE CLERK OF COURTS IS DIRECTED/TO SERVE THIS JUDGMENT IN A MANNER PRESCRIBED BY CIV.R. 5(B). THE CLERK MUST INDICATE ON THE DOCKET THE NAMES AND ADDRESSES OF ALL

PARTIES, THE METHOD OF SERVICE, AND THE COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH CHISSERVICE.

Date

- 96 08/08/2015 2010