87-

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS TRUMBULL COUNTY, OHIO

STATE OF OHIO, ex rel. ANTHONY J. CELEBREZZE, JR. ATTORNEY GENERAL OF OHIO,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Case No. 82-CV-68 Judge Mitchell F. Shaker

RAY PANDER TRUCKING, INC. et al.

AMENDMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

Defendants.

The Plaintiff State of Ohio and Defendant Atlas
Energy Group, Inc., having consented to the entry of a Consent
Judgment between them, which was filed in the above-captioned
matter on December 21, 1983, hereby consent to amend the
Consent Judgment as provided below, it is hereby ORDERED,
ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Consent Judgment is amended
as follows:

- Paragraph VII is amended to identify the existing
 paragraph in its entirety as subparagraph "(a)";
- 2. A new subparagraph "(b)" is inserted in Paragraph VII, after subparagraph (a), to read as follows:
- (b) With respect to the order to Defendant pursuant to Paragraph VII of the Consent Judgment in Case No 82 CV 68, the Defendant, in the alternative, shall drill and/or construct, within one (1) year of the entry of the original Consent Judgment, filed in this case on December 21, 1983, a well for the purposes of enhanced recovery. Such well shall be constructed and operated at Defendant's expense, within the State of Ohio in compliance with all applicable state and federal laws and regulations, including, but not limited to R.C. Chapters 1509 and 6111 and O.A.C. 1501:9-5-05 and 9-5-09. Construction of such well shall be completed

within one(1) year of the entry of the Consent Judgment. Upon completing construction of said enhanced recovery project, Defendant shall so notify the Ohio EPA in writing within seven (7) days. By such notification Defendant shall certify that the enhanced recovery project has been completely constructed incompliance with all applicable state and federal laws and regulations. Provided, however, that Plaintiff State of Ohio may, in a written report to this Court, disagree with Defendant's certification and either party may submit this matter to this Court for resolution. Should Defendant construct a well for the purposes of enhanced recovery as specified in this paragraph within one (1) year of the entry of the Judgment, and upon receipt of written notification by Ohio EPA as prescribed in Paragraph VII, Defendant's obligation to pay the civil penalty of Twenty Thousand Dollars (\$20,999.00) as described in Paragraph VI of the Consent Judgment shall terminate. Should the well, constructed for the purposes of enhanced recovery, ultimately fail toimprove the production of other wells owned or operated by the Defendant in the immediate · vicinity of the enhanced recovery project well, the Defendant shall to the extent permitted under then applicable state and federal laws and regulations, continue to utilize said well for the disposal of some or all of the brine and other wastes generated by Defendant in the course of its oil and gas drilling operations.

APPROVED:

HONORABLE MILTON F. SHAKER JUDGE, COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

ANTHONY J. CELEBREZZE, JR.

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF OHIO

MARGARET A. MALONE SUSAN E. FLANNERY

Assistant Attorneys General 30 East Broad Street, 17th Floor

Columbus, Ohio 43215 (614), 466-2766

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF

QUIRE

General Counse Atlas Energy Group, Inc. 311 Rouser Road

Coraopolis, PA 15108

262-2220